

UNCT-SWAP Gender Equality Scorecard Toolkit Resource

<p>What?</p> <p><i>Guidance Note on a New Generation of Joint Programmes</i> (UNSDG, October 2022).</p> <p>and</p> <p><i>Guidance Note on a New Generation of Joint Programmes - Annexes</i> (UNSDG, October 2022).</p>	<p>Why?</p> <p>The Guidance Note is intended to make Joint Programmes (JP) more effective, catalytic, and easier to use. It responds to guidance and decisions by Member States, lessons from experience, and needs identified by UN Country Teams. It offers several important changes to make JPs lighter and more user-friendly for rapid implementation, with fewer transaction costs.</p> <p>The Guidance Note anchors JPs role in the Cooperation Framework, defines what a JP is, and when to develop a JP based on the country context, the Cooperation Framework and the comparative advantages of Participating UN Organizations (PUNO).</p> <p>The Annexes to the Joint Programme Guidance Note contain the following tools and guidance:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Joint Programme documents i.e. templates for the standard and simplified programme documents, and the Results-based Annual report template 2. Quality standards and due diligence 3. Terms of Reference for the Joint Programme Steering Committee and Joint Programme team respectively 4. Fund management modalities
--	--

Performance Indicator 2.1 Joint Programs

Approaches Minimum Requirements	Meets Minimum Requirements	Exceeds Minimum Requirements
<p>a) Gender equality is visibly mainstreamed into at least 50 percent of JPs operational at the time of assessment;</p> <p>or</p> <p>b) A Joint Program on promoting gender equality and empowerment of women is operational over current Cooperation Framework period in line with SDG priorities, including SDG 5.</p>	<p>a) Gender equality is visibly mainstreamed into all JPs operational at the time of assessment;</p> <p>and</p> <p>b) A Joint Program on promoting gender equality and empowerment of women and girls is operational over current Cooperation Framework period in line with SDG priorities, including SDG 5.</p>	<p>Meets minimum requirements</p> <p>and</p> <p>c) A system is in place to ensure gender mainstreaming in Joint Programs.</p>



UNITED NATIONS
SUSTAINABLE
DEVELOPMENT
GROUP
.....

Guidance Note on a New Generation of Joint Programmes

October 2022

Table of Contents

Acronyms and Abbreviations	iii
1.0 A new generation of joint programmes	1
2.0 Joint programme: Definition & rationale	2
2.1 Definition	2
2.2 Rationale: When to chose a JP	4
2.3 Who does what: Responsibilities and accountabilities for JPs	5
3.0 Joint progamme cycle.....	7
Stage A: Design	8
Stage B: Implement & adjust.....	11
Stage C: Learn, transition and close.....	13

Acronyms and Abbreviations

AA	Administrative Agent (<i>pass-through fund management modality</i>)
BOS	United Nations Business Operations Strategy (country level)
BS	Business sector
CA	Convening Agent (<i>pass-through fund management modality</i>)
CBO; CBS	Common Back Office; Common Business Services
CEB	United Nations Chief Executives Board
CF	Cooperation Framework (<i>short version of UNSDCF</i>)
CSO	Civil Society Organization
DCO	United Nations Development Coordination Office
ERP	Enterprise Resource Planning systems of UNOs
FMOG	United Nations Fiduciary Management and Oversight Group
FMM	Fund management modalities for joint programmes: parallel, consolidated, or pass-through
GEWE	Gender equality and women's empowerment
HDP	Humanitarian-Development-Peace collaboration
HRP	Humanitarian Response Plan
IFI	International Financial Institutions, including multilateral development banks
IP	Implementing Partner(s)
JP	Joint Programme
JWP	CF Joint Work Plan
KM	Knowledge management
LNOB	Leave no one behind
MA	Managing Agent (<i>consolidated fund management modality</i>)
MAF	Management Accountability Framework of the UN Development and UNRC System
MDTF	Multi-Donor Trust Fund (<i>a pooled fund</i>)
OMT	Operations Management Team (<i>country level, responsible for BOS</i>)
PUNO(s)	Participating United Nations Organization(s)
QA	Quality assurance
QCPR	Quadrennial Comprehensive Policy Review
RBB	Results Based Budgeting (a key element of RBM)
RBM	Results Based Management
UNRC/ UNRCO	United Nations Resident Coordinator/ Resident Coordinator's Office
RG	CF Results Groups
SC	Joint Programme Steering Committee
SDGs	Sustainable Development Goals
ToC	Theory of change
UNCT	United Nations Country Team
UNEG	United Nations Evaluation Group
UNO	United Nations Organization
UNSDCF	United Nations Sustainable Development Cooperation Framework (<i>see CF</i>)
UNSDG	United Nations Sustainable Development Group (<i>formerly UNDG</i>)

1.0 A new generation of joint programmes

This guidance is intended to make joint programmes more effective, catalytic, and easier to use. It responds to guidance and decisions by members states,¹ lessons from experience, and needs identified by UN Country Teams (UNCTs). It offers several important changes to make JPs lighter and more user-friendly for rapid implementation, with fewer transaction costs.

What's new:

- » Anchoring of the JP within the Cooperation Framework (CF) and related joint work plans (JWPs);³
- » Positioning of JPs to make catalytic, SDG-related policy changes and to enable the UN system and partners to share risk;⁴
- » Strong ownership by government with the option to use an existing mechanism for steering;
- » Clearly defined roles and responsibilities aligned with the Management and Accountability Framework (MAF);⁵
- » A joint programme cycle and quality standards;
- » Objective criteria and a process to select participating UN organizations (PUNOs) when new funding is available;
- » Options to design JPs based on the scale and scope of expected results and budgets: Below certain thresholds a JP can be developed and launched by two or more UN organizations with minimal documentation;
- » Introduction of a JP team and teamwork approach to jointly manage for results; and
- » Enabling non-UN partners to join a JP and implement with their own resources.

Box 1 Joint programming and Joint programmes:

*Joint Programming*²: Through the CF and JWPs, UN organizations and national partners collectively prepare, implement, monitor and evaluate development activities aimed at achieving the 2030 Agenda and other international and regional commitments.

Joint Programmes: A JP is anchored in an approved CF, its theory of change and accountability mechanisms. It produces a *higher or closer* level of joint work than can be delivered from regular joint programming under a CF. It answers the need for more coherent, cross-sectoral approaches and it contributes to catalytic change, linked to one or more CF outcomes, country priorities and SDGs.

JPs have always served as a vehicle to mobilize resources. The changes offered in this guidance note will help UNCTs to develop more effective and higher quality JPs with the potential to increase the confidence of member states and, in line with the Funding Compact, attract greater donor investment.

The guidance is applicable to all UNDS funds, programmes and specialized agencies for JPs that are supporting the achievement of the SDGs.⁶

*'Joint programmes are the answer to a specific need for more coherent, cross-sectoral approaches – this has never been more urgent than under the 2030 Agenda'*⁷.

¹ UN General Assembly, Quadrennial comprehensive policy review (QCPR), [A/RES/75/233](#), 30 December 2020. Based upon 'repositioning' resolution [A/RES/72/279](#) 31 May 2018; (2) UN General Assembly, ECOSOC, Report of the Secretary General - [Funding Compact](#), A/74/73/Add.1, 02 April 2019.

² UNSDG, CF Internal guidance, June 2019, 26, para 87.

³ The CF is recognized by member states and the UN system as the most important instrument for planning and implementation of UN development activities at country level and guides the programme cycle and the contributions of UNOs. UN General Assembly, Repositioning of the United Nations development system, Resolution [A/RES/72/279](#), 31 May 2018. Affirmed in the 2020 QCPR [A/RES/75/233](#). UNSDG, United Nations Sustainable Development Cooperation Framework, [Internal guidance](#), June 2019.

⁴ JPs are a way to share risk: Often JPs are the only way to address 'wicked' problems with complex inter-dependencies. JPs enable the UN system to support programmes related to normative standards and the guiding principles, especially: LNOB, human rights and gender equality.

⁵ UNSDG, [The Management and Accountability Framework of the UN Development and Resident Coordinator System](#), 15 Sept 2021.

⁶ This Guidance replaces UNDG, [Guidance Note on Joint Programmes](#), 2014. Considerations for JPs at global and regional levels under development.

⁷ GDI/DIE Earmarking in the Multilateral Development System - Many Shades of Grey, 2020, 261.

2.0 Joint programme: Definition & rationale

2.1 Definition

A joint programme is a cooperation strategy. It is a way to achieve a catalytic development result that depends upon the **comparative advantages** of two or more participating UN organizations (PUNOs) working together with partners as a **team** in a highly coordinated and integrated manner. The joint programme has a strategic intent and strong **programmatic rationale**: Expected results: a) contribute to one or more CF outcomes, national development priorities and related SDGs and b) focus on one or more **policy levers**, with *the potential* to catalyse systemic change. A JP can be at country, regional, or global levels, involving two or more regions or countries.

Features:

- a. The JP is anchored in an approved CF⁸ and its theory of change and accountability mechanisms,⁹ and integrates the guiding principles.¹⁰ A JP will produce a *higher* or *closer* level of joint work than can be delivered from regular joint programming under a CF.
- b. There is strong ownership of the JP by one or more government bodies, including recognized institutional partners in the CF, through a Steering Committee (SC).
- c. The JP results contribute to catalytic change, linked to one or more CF outcomes, country priorities and SDGs (Box 2).
- d. The JP is guided by a programme cycle (*A. Design; B. Implement & adjust; C. Learn, transition and close*) with clear accountabilities and responsibilities, and quality standards.
- e. The JP has a signed JP document with a results framework, work plan and budget derived from the related CF joint work plan and funding framework;
- f. JPs use one of three fund management modalities (FMM): parallel, consolidated, or pass-through.
- g. A defining characteristic of a joint programme is **the level of teamwork**. The JP team jointly manages for results for the duration of the JP. The team monitors implementation and the achievement of results, learns lessons and makes adjustments for greater effectiveness. The team comprises responsible staff from all PUNOs and partners, as applicable. Coordination and programmatic leadership are provided by a lead PUNO. In some situations, the UNRCO may support coordination as part of the JP team (see 2.3, para 19).
- h. Implementing partners (IPs): JPs may engage with government bodies at national and local levels and other partners as IPs, depending on the rules of the PUNOs.¹²

Box 2 A joint programme is **catalytic** when:

1. It fosters stronger UN system coordination and coherence to deliver results
2. JP results help to accelerate institutional or behavioural change, contributing to SDG-related country priorities and results. This is often seen in changes to policy or policy implementation, country budget allocations, and the adoption and scaling-up of proven programme solutions.
3. JP results help to lever buy-in of partners and attract investment¹¹ AND make that investment more effective (*Partners: Governments, donors, IFIs, the business sector, civil society, families and individuals*).

⁸ The JP results framework and work plan is derived from the relevant CF JWP and funding framework. It shows a planned contribution to one or more CF outcomes. It includes one more CF outputs, sub-outputs and related PUNO activities.

⁹ This includes joint accountability to the CF Joint Steering Committee, including government, and PUNOs for expected JP results.


¹⁰ Based upon the quality standards for the CF and guiding principles: (1) Leave no one behind, (2) Human rights, (3) Gender equality and the empowerment of women (GEWE), (4) Resilience, (5) Sustainability, and (6) Accountability, including the availability and use of quality data. UNSDG, CF Guidance, 2019, 10-11.

¹¹ Investment can include both financial and in-kind support, including staff time.

¹² **IPs:** National, regional or international government or non-government organizations, civil society organizations (CSOs), including employers' organisations, trade unions and business sector (BS) partners (*as permitted by the programming policies and procedures and financial regulations and rules of PUNOs*).

- i. Where there is a strong programmatic rationale, non-UN partners¹³ may join a JP and implement, in parallel, with their own resources. This will secure more partnerships and help to lever additional resources to achieve JP results and contribute to the SDGs. The legal basis for non-UN partners is the signed JP document, including the results framework, work plan and budget. See minimum due diligence requirements (Annex B4).
- j. JPs utilise *existing* common business services¹⁴ for more rapid and effective implementation.
- k. All results and related budgets under a JP are tagged as 'joint' in UN Info and UNO ERP systems for reporting on the QCPR and Funding Compact.¹⁵
- l. In line with common management features of inter-agency pooled funds requested in the QCPR and Funding Compact, JPs use mechanisms for innovative financing, visibility and transparency.
- m. Joint programmes are also relevant in settings where Humanitarian Response Plans¹⁶ and CFs exist simultaneously.¹⁷ Joint programmes can strengthen coherence and complementarity among development, humanitarian and peacebuilding efforts¹⁸ and complement the achievement of [collective outcomes](#)¹⁹.
- n. Flexibility and responsiveness are important: The scale of a JP can exist on a continuum from modest joint results with a narrow scope up to highly complex, multi-year programmes. This is illustrated below (see Figure 1). All JPs meet mandatory requirements. At or below a total budget of **USD \$1 million** per year and so long as most resources and capacities are in place and UN organizations are ready to deliver, a JP can be developed and launched by two or more UN organizations with the minimum of a three-page JP document and one page work plan and budget.

Figure 1. Options for joint programmes



All results and budgets are tagged as 'joint' in UN Info and UNO ERP systems for reporting on the QCPR and Funding Compact

¹³ **Non-UN partners** implement with their own resources and do not receive funds or supplies from PUNOs for implementation: National, regional or international non-government organizations (NGOs), civil society organizations (CSOs), including employers' organisations, trade unions and business sector (BS) partners. See due diligence requirements in Annex B4. See separate [procedure for business sector partners](#).

¹⁴ UNSDG, [BOS 2.0 High-Impact Services](#) January 2022.

¹⁵ UN GA, ECOSOC, Report of the Secretary General-[Funding Compact](#), A/74/73/Add.1, 02 April 2019. Indicator 1.3. UNSDG entities reporting at least 15% of development related expenditures on joint activities; 2.1 Donors commit to provide 10% of non-core resources through pooled funds.

¹⁶ [HRPs](#) contain (1) a country strategy with strategic objectives and indicators and (2) cluster plans with objectives, activities and costing. See: [Humanitarian programme cycle](#), [HNO-HRP Step by Step Guidance 2021](#). Reporting about JP results is linked to the CF, not the HRP.

¹⁷ In 2020, 159 JPs or 1 in 4 of all reported JPs were in countries with a CF and a **Humanitarian Response Plan** (HRP)

¹⁸ United Nations, [Enhancing the humanitarian-development-peace nexus](#); UNSDG, [Humanitarian-Development-Peace \(HDP\) Collaboration - CF Companion Piece](#), 2020. See also: OECD, [DAC Recommendation on the Humanitarian-Development-Peace Nexus](#), 2022.

¹⁹ UNSDG, [Humanitarian-Development-Peace \(HDP\) Collaboration - CF Companion Piece and UN-IASC Light Guidance on Collective Outcomes](#).

2.2 Rationale: When to choose a JP

JPs have a **strong programmatic rationale**. The concept for a JP emerges:

- » From the UN system, based on the country situation, the CF, and the comparative advantages²⁰ of two or more PUNOs and their partners to achieve results; or
- » In response to a request from government or donors who wish to harness the value and comparative advantages of focused effort by the UN system. This can involve a global, regional or country level pooled or vertical fund, established to address global priorities and frameworks.

When a JP emerges from the UN system, PUNOs are normally self-selecting, based upon common CF results and partners and informed by the UNCT configuration. In countries without a CF [i.e., in 'exceptional circumstances', a JP is based upon available UNCT-agreed planning frameworks.²¹

When a JP responds to a request from government or donors or to a call from a pooled fund, the UNRC and UNCT use objective criteria to inform their selection of PUNOs and to allocate resources (see Step 4; Annex B2). In a situation where the JP results and strategies **do not** have *obvious* alignment with the CF, the UNRC and UNCT will engage with government, donors and relevant partners to:

- (1) Review and validate the programmatic rationale for the JP based on country analysis;
- (2) Confirm links with the national plans and policy agenda and ensure that expected JP results will contribute to achievement of one or more SDGs and nationalized targets;
- (3) Ensure the UN system has comparative advantages to undertake the programme; and
- (4) Proceed to design the JP and *adjust* the CF results framework and relevant JWP at earliest opportunity.

Minimum criteria - Choose a JP when the concept can meet **all** these criteria:

- » Expected JP results are part of the Cooperation Framework (CF)²² and respond to a complex development challenge, linked to one or more SDG-related country priorities;
- » Expected JP results have the potential to be scaled up and to 'un-lock' a systemic policy change;²³
- » There is strong ownership of the JP by one or more government bodies including recognized institutional partners indicated in the CF, with clear links to a national policy priority or international commitment;
- » There are two or more UN organizations working toward the expected JP results with complementary comparative advantages AND who are committed to work together throughout the programme cycle;
- » There are strong implementing partners (IPs) at national and local levels that can sustain JP results;
- » The recommended number of PUNOs is not more than five with a preference of from two to four.²⁴

When NOT to choose a JP: When the concept for a JP does not meet the criteria (above), other programming modalities would be more appropriate. In an emergency situation, depending on the context, a JP may not be a good fit for the programme context.

²⁰ Comparative advantages combine: 1) Mandate to address the development problem; 2) Technical and operational capacity as demonstrated by past results and by the availability of relevant technical, human, financial and administrative resources; and 3) Positioning to address the challenge better than others working in the same area. This is demonstrated by past results, strategic partnerships and and/or a unique role if there is no other organization doing comparable work. When two or more PUNOs have complementary comparative advantages to address a development problem, the UNCT as a whole has comparative advantage to do so.

²¹ To align with final UNSDG guidance on exceptional circumstances.

²² The JP results framework and work plan is derived from the relevant CF JWP and funding framework. It shows a planned contribution to one or more CF outcomes. It includes one more CF outputs, sub-outputs and related PUNO activities.

²³ This may concern the quality of policies, in line with international commitments and standards and/or tangible policy implementation.

²⁴ The number of PUNOs may exceed this recommendation based upon context and judgement of UNRC and UNCT.

A JP can be financed:

- » Directly by PUNOs using core/regular resources and other resources;²⁵
- » Through country, regional or global pooled funds.

There is a choice of **three fund management modalities (FMMs)**: parallel, consolidated, or pass-through.²⁶ These are underpinned by legal documents that govern financial management and accountabilities. See Annex D for information about each FMM, indicative budget thresholds, and a chart to guide selection.

2.3 Who does what: Responsibilities and accountabilities for JPs

Responsibilities and accountabilities are best understood in terms of the three stages of the JP cycle: *A. Design*; *B. Implement & adjust*; *C. Learn, transition and close*.

A. Design

The design stage involves preparation of the JP concept, including a theory of change and a draft JP document. The concept is prepared by a lead PUNO with programme staff of PUNOs from the relevant CF results group. The lead PUNO acts as 'pen holder'. Consultation with government and other partners is essential. The UNRC and UNCT are jointly accountable for the quality of the JP concept and its design. UNRCs coordinate UNCT-wide efforts for resource mobilization for the CF. While UNCT members (irrespective of physical location) retain their ability to fundraise locally for their own or joint programmes with other entities, they will ensure alignment to and consistency with agreed UNCT/UN Cooperation Framework Funding Framework and resource mobilization strategy through adequate coordination with the RC, to avoid (perceived or real) competition for funds and maximize the opportunities for joint programming/programmes²⁷. The design stage ends with the approval and signing of the JP document and the establishment of the JP SC and JP team. The UNRC has final decision-making authority to approve a JP concept. When the concept responds to a call from a pooled fund, the UNRC has final decision-making authority over the selection of PUNOs (see Figure 2. The Joint Programme Cycle, step 4).

B. Implement & adjust

The second stage involves joint work to implement the JP, monitor the achievement of results, learn lessons, and make adjustments for greater effectiveness. The **JP SC** meets to endorse the JP document and special roles and legal documents related to the choice of FMM. The **JP team** is accountable to, and has a dual reporting role to, the JP SC and to their respective PUNOs for the achievement of results. The JP team **manages for results** for the duration of the programme. This is

Box 3 Who does what: Key messages

Design

- » The UNRC and UNCT are accountable for a relevant, high-quality JP; resource mobilization is led by PUNOs in coordination with the UNRC
- » The design is done by a lead PUNO with programme staff of PUNOs from the relevant CF results group

Implement & adjust

- » The JP Steering Committee is accountable for results and provides strategic oversight and guidance
- » The JP team, guided by a lead PUNO, manages for results

Learn, transition and close

- » The JP team and SC work closely to sustain and scale-up results and partnerships, carry-out a final review and an evaluation (*as required*)

There are special roles related to the choice of FMM.

²⁵ This includes bilateral ODA, multilateral funding from IFIs, government funding, South-South cooperation, and private allocations (e.g. business sector, foundations)

²⁶ **Parallel**: PUNOs manage funds separately; **Consolidated**: PUNOs transfer funds to another PUNO, designated as the Managing Agent (MA); **Pass-through**: Pass-through: PUNOs appoint one UNO as the Administrative Agent (AA) to set up a common fund account in which funding from different donors is co-mingled. Financial transfers are made between the AA and PUNOs. A PUNO is selected to serve as Convening Agent (CA) to provide coordination and programmatic leadership. Pass-through mechanisms are always UN inter-agency pooled funds which can operate as stand-alone JPs and country, regional and global multi partner trust funds (MPTFs), as per UN financial data standards.

²⁷ UNSDG, MAF, section 3.5 Funding/Resource Mobilization, 18-19.

done according to the JP results framework, work plan and budget. The team comprises responsible programme staff from all PUNOs and non-UN partners *as applicable*. They sequence planned activities, monitor implementation and the achievement of results, learn lessons and make adjustments for greater effectiveness together with government and IPs. The JP team practices a set of teamwork skills: participation, effective listening, collaboration, flexibility and the ability to revise and refine. JP teams are expected to meet at least monthly. A JP team may be co-located where appropriate and feasible (Refer to Annex B.3 JP Team: Performance assessment and indicators).

Coordination and programmatic leadership: The **lead PUNO** provides coordination and programmatic leadership to the JP team during implementation. PUNOs are normally self-selecting. The lead PUNO is selected by other PUNOs. This is informed by the relevant CF JWP and planned results, the UNCT configuration exercise, and the capacity and positioning of the organization to provide coordination and programmatic leadership for the duration of the JP. The UNRC is informed about the selection of the lead PUNO.

- » **Coordination:** The lead PUNO: (1) Organizes and supports meetings of the JP SC; (2) Coordinates advocacy with donors and external stakeholders, and (3) Facilitates audit and evaluation (*as may be required*).
- » **Programmatic leadership** that supports the JP team to manage for results. The lead PUNO ensures programmatic coherence and quality in accordance with the JP results framework, work plan and budget and quality standards, by performing the following functions: (1) Organizing and chairing JP team meetings; (2) Updating the JP results framework, work plan and budget; (3) Leading joint monitoring and learning efforts, including joint field missions; (4) Facilitating programmatic learning and adjustments, (5) Consolidating the annual and final results-based report, based upon inputs from other PUNOs; and (6) Reporting to JP SC meetings.

There are some special roles related to the choice of FMM (Annex D):

- » **Consolidated FMM:** PUNOs select the Managing Agent (MA) and inform the UNRC. The lead PUNO is the MA.
- » **Pass-through FMM:** (1) PUNOs select the Administrative Agent (AA) and inform the UNRC. When a JP responds to a country, regional or global pooled fund, the AA is indicated by the pooled fund TOR and governance arrangements; (2) PUNOs select the Convening Agent (CA) and inform the UNRC. The lead PUNO is the CA. When the pooled fund *requires* a coordination role for the UNRC, the selection of the CA/Lead PUNO is done in consultation with the UNRC.

The **JP Steering Committee** is accountable for the achievement of JP results. It provides strategic oversight and guidance for the JP team from launch to closure (*Stages B and C*), including adjustments to JP results and strategy, progress reports and learning, and evaluation (*as may be required*). Under a pass-through FMM the SC approves fund allocations to PUNOs. The SC is co-chaired by the Government and a member of the UNCT. Members include all PUNOs, non-UN partners, as applicable, and donors. Normally, the **UN co-chair** is the chair of the relevant CF Results Group and JWP from which the JP is derived²⁸. The UN co-chair represents and acts on behalf of the UNCT. Secretariat functions are provided by the lead PUNO. An existing coordination mechanism (*e.g. sector or thematic coordination body*) may act as the SC so long as its composition and TOR are appropriate for JP steering or can be adjusted.

Role of the UN Resident Coordinator (UNRC) and Resident Coordinator's Office (UNRCO): When funding is from a country, regional or global pooled fund that *requires* a coordination role for the UNRC,²⁹ the UNRC will co-chair the JP SC.³⁰ In all other situations, involvement of the UNRC to support steering and coordination **is optional**. When requested by all PUNOs, the pooled fund mechanism, or by individual

²⁸ Where a JP contributes to 2 or more CF outcomes, the most relevant CF results group will provide the co-chair. Where the substantive and technical aspects of the JP require involvement of a specific UNO to co-chair, this can be decided by PUNOs. The UNRC is informed.

²⁹ Current examples are: Joint SDG Fund; Peacebuilding Fund; Spotlight Initiative. It is important to distinguish between the country level pooled fund mechanism and the JPs that are funded by it. The steering committee for a country-level pooled fund is chaired by the UNRC or co-chaired with government. UNSDG, [UN Country-level Pooled Funds](#), 2020 6.

³⁰ As per the MAF, section 3.5: Where there is an explicit role for the UNRC, the UNRC co-signs Joint Programmes with UNCT members and chairs or co-chairs the local steering committee for joint programmes.

donors the UNRCO may support the JP team for coordination purposes³¹. When the UNRCO provides coordination support, the lead PUNO continues to provide programmatic leadership and, if the JP uses a pass-through FMM, the lead PUNO continues to be the Convening Agent (CA).³²

When the UNRC does not co-chair the JP SC and when the UNRCO does not support the JP team for coordination purposes, the lead PUNO will keep the UNRC and UNRCO informed about progress, including: achievements, constraints, resource mobilization requirements and actions. The lead PUNO will share the JP concept, JP document, annual progress reports, notes from SC meetings, and the evaluation (*as may be required*) for information.

C. Learn, transition and close

The final stage involves work by the JP team and JP SC to sustain and scale-up JP results and partnerships. They carry out a final review, final report and an evaluation (*as may be required*) and undertake operational and financial closure. Similar to stage B, the JP team is responsible to carry out programme activities and the JP SC is accountable for the quality of the work and final results.

Disagreements during the JP cycle are addressed according to the informal dispute resolution mechanism provided in the MAF and legal documents for the applicable FMM. When non-UN partners are involved, the principles and processes of the MAF mechanism will apply.³³

3.0 Joint programme cycle


A JP can be developed at any point during CF implementation. Every JP follows a standard programme cycle and adheres to quality standards aligned with those for the CF. There are three stages: *A. Design; B. Implement & adjust; C. Learn, transition and close*. Results are achieved by a JP team, with guidance and oversight by a Steering Committee. Where relevant, the JP will highlight synergies and complementarities with the Humanitarian Response Plan (HRP), strengthen coherence among development, humanitarian and peacebuilding efforts,³⁴ and complement the achievement of [collective outcomes](#).

³¹ This adheres to: A. Mohammed (DSG), Resident Coordinators seeking additional capacities to UNRC offices beyond Special Purpose Trust Fund-funded positions, UN Interoffice Memorandum, 07 July 2020. RCOs may perform 'secretariat/coordination functions only', Para 11.

³² In this situation a spirit of teamwork is essential: (1) The UNRCO will support the leadership role of the programmatic lead PUNO and ensure it is fully consulted on all advocacy efforts; (2) The programmatic lead PUNO will keep the UNRCO regularly informed.

³³ See informal dispute resolution mechanism in [MAF](#), Annex 2.

³⁴ United Nations, [Enhancing the humanitarian-development-peace nexus](#); UNSDG, [Humanitarian-Development-Peace \(HDP\) Collaboration - CF Companion Piece](#), 2020. See also: OECD, [DAC Recommendation on the Humanitarian-Development-Peace Nexus](#), 2022.

Figure 2. Joint programme cycle

Stage A: Design

Step 1. Prepare JP concept

The purpose of the concept is to explain the rationale for the JP. It is prepared by the lead PUNO with programme staff of PUNOs from the relevant CF results group and non-UN partners (*as applicable*). The concept is based upon the CF, the Common Country Analysis (CCA), and other country analytic work including the [Humanitarian Needs Overview](#)³⁵ (*as relevant*). Additional analysis may be undertaken according to guidance for the CCA. The JP concept applies the following guiding principles: (1) Leave no one behind, (2) Human rights, (3) [Gender equality and the empowerment of women](#)³⁶ (GEWE), (4) Resilience, (5) Sustainability, and (6) Accountability, including the availability and use of quality data.

Based upon the challenges to be addressed, the JP has a brief [theory of change](#) (ToC) that defines the change pathway required to achieve expected results. This is based upon the ToC for the CF, including strategic assumptions and risks. It explains how people, and especially vulnerable groups, will be engaged and benefit. Consultation with government and other partners is strongly encouraged to validate the problems and to understand the most effective and relevant results and strategy for the JP.

Five elements are important for consideration. The JP concept:

- » Describes **steering and management structures** including the JP SC, JP team, and special roles related to the FMM;
- » **Identifies the FMM**, based on how to achieve the most effective and efficient implementation and to reduce transaction costs³⁷ (Refer to Annex D: Fund management modalities)

³⁵ Inter-Agency Standing Committee (IASC), [2023 Joint Intersectoral Analysis \(JIAF\) Guidance](#) '2023

Joint Intersectoral Analysis Framework (JIAF) Guidance'.

³⁷ This includes: (1) The special roles include: **Pass-through**: Administrative Agent (AA) and Convening Agent (CA); **Consolidated**: Managing Agent (MA) (Annex D); and (2) Consideration of indicative **budget thresholds**: Parallel: None; Consolidated: USD \$200,00 per PUNO; Pass-through: USD \$1 million per PUNO.

- » Offers a **sustainability plan and exit strategy** to explain how expected JP results will be sustained and scaled-up, including capacities and mechanisms needed by partners and beneficiaries;
- » Includes provision for **learning and knowledge management (KM)**; more complex JPs have KM activities in the work plan and budget; and
- » Facilitates a discussion between PUNOs and the Operations Management Team (OMT) to review available **common business services or discuss new services to enhance JP design and implementation.**

The JP concept meets the minimum criteria and adheres to quality standards, based upon a self-assessment checklist (Refer to Annex B1: Joint Programme: Quality Standards). See the CCA companion and the *Guiding Principles Companion* for specific guidance and tools. The template for simplified JPs can also be used to prepare the JP concept.

Step 2. Review concept for preparation

For all JPs: The UNRC and UNCT meet to consider the rationale and relevance of the JP concept and to affirm that it contributes to the agreed CF results.³⁸ The review considers the minimum criteria, quality standards, and any additional criteria required by the funding mechanism. The discussion is documented as part of UNCT minutes to ensure full transparency and knowledge.

- » When the JP responds to a call from a pooled fund: Following documented discussion, the UNCT decides to either: (1) Approve the concept for further preparation, or (2) Reject the JP concept. The UNRC has final decision-making authority.
- » All other JPs: Following documented discussion by the UNCT, the PUNOs proceed to prepare the JP document. PUNOs that helped to design the JP will participate during implementation.

Step 3. Prepare joint programme document

Based upon the approved concept, a draft JP document is prepared. This is done by the lead PUNO with programme staff of PUNOs and non-UN partners (*as applicable*). It includes a ToC and a single integrated JP results framework, work plan and budget. These are derived from the CF and related JWP and funding framework. Preparation of the JP document meets the minimum criteria and quality standards, including the guiding principles. The JP document outlines the composition of the SC and JP team. Involvement of government and other country stakeholders to design the JP is important to foster ownership, achieve and sustain future results.

There are **two (2) standard templates** for use by all JPs (Annex A):

- (1) A JP document for more complex programmes; and
- (2) A simplified JP document up to three pages for less complex programmes at or below a budget threshold of USD \$1 million total per year.

JP results framework, work plan and budget: Both templates include a single integrated JP results framework, work plan and budget. It is derived from the relevant CF JWP and funding framework and it is prepared on a rolling basis. It illustrates a high level of coordination and sequencing for complementarity and coherence between the planned activities of PUNOs and non-UN partners (*as applicable*).

Expected JP results are one or more CF outputs, sub-outputs and related PUNO activities. They contribute logically to one or more CF outcomes, country priorities, and related SDG targets. Five percent of the JP budget is allocated for monitoring, reporting, evaluation and audit, unless otherwise required by the donor or pooled fund. Normally, each PUNO will allocate five percent of its budget share.³⁹

Key features, in line with the guiding principles and [UNSDG RBM handbook](#):

³⁸ In accordance with UNSDG, MAF, section 3.5 18-19

³⁹ Budgets for monitoring, evaluation and audit are shown in separate budget lines.

(1) JP results are measurable and focus on one or more national policy levers and engagement with new partners to attract investment (*financial or in-kind*); they also mainstream concerns for gender equality and women's empowerment, address the different ways that women and girls and men and boys experience the problems being addressed, and respond to the most pressing human rights issues, including discrimination⁴⁰.

(2) JP results and activities are coded with [gender equality, human rights, and peace markers](#).

(3) Indicators are taken from CF results framework and JWP. Outcome indicators correspond to one or more SDG indicators; both outcome and output indicators are **disaggregated**.⁴¹ Important tools are the UNCT-SWAP [Gender equality scorecard](#), and UNCT accountability scorecards on [disability inclusion](#) and the participation and engagement with young people: [Youth 2030](#).

(4) Indicators include at least one indicator to measure expected **catalytic changes**, for example:
Qualitative: Extent to which JP strategy and results have accelerated policy change(s) contributing to SDG achievement in terms of scale (geographic coverage) or scope (additional sectors or themes); or

Quantitative: Amount of additional funding or financing ('000 USD) leveraged/mobilized by the JP.

Step 4. Analyse and select PUNOs for JPs financed from a Pooled Fund

This step applies only to situations where the JP responds to a call from a pooled fund. Based upon the JP document, the UNRC and UNCT use a set of objective criteria to inform their selection of PUNOs and to allocate resources (see Annex B2). Normally, the JP will use a pass-through FMM and the lead PUNO is the CA. When a JP is funded by a global regional or country pooled fund, the AA is indicated by the pooled fund TOR and governance arrangements.

PUNOs are chosen when they are essential for the successful implementation of the JP and delivery of expected results. The UNRC and UNCT will consider the comparative advantages of *potential* PUNOs and of their implementing partners to support implementation as a team. This is informed by the relevant CF JWP and planned results, the UNCT configuration exercise, and the capacity and positioning of the *potential* PUNOs. The UNRC will ensure engagement with all UNCT organizations in accord with their mandates, comparative advantages, and interests. The UNRCO will prepare the analysis and draft recommendations for consideration by UNRC and UNCT. The UNRC has final decision-making authority to approve a JP concept and over the selection of PUNOs.

Step 5. Mobilize resources

The mobilization of resources is led by the PUNOs while keeping the UNRC informed.⁴² This is done in accordance with the CF funding framework and resource mobilization strategy and the MAF⁴³ to maximize partnerships and opportunities and to avoid (perceived or real) competition for funds. Coordinated resource mobilization may occur throughout the design stage and may occur throughout the joint programme cycle.

Step 6. Appraise and undertake quality assurance

The purpose is to review the quality and feasibility of the JP and advise on its readiness for approval. PUNOs undertake a **quality assurance** (QA) review against quality standards. The UNRC and UNCT are accountable for the quality of the JP concept and design. Attention is placed on the **programmatic rationale**, particularly the comparative advantages of PUNOs to achieve expected JP results. Consultation and information sharing with stakeholders from government, donors, implementing

⁴⁰ Based on the most recent outcomes from the human rights mechanisms. See UNSDG Companion Piece: Guiding Principles, on a human rights-based approach (HRBA) and normative frameworks related to human rights and human rights at work, 21.

⁴¹ As far as possible, data are disaggregated by gender, race, ethnicity, class, age, disability, religion, language, caste, national or social origin, sexual orientation and gender identity, and other forms of discrimination prohibited by international law. CF Consolidated Annexes, LNOB, 17.

⁴² This includes bilateral ODA, multilateral funding from IFIs, government funding, South-South cooperation, and private allocations (e.g. business sector, foundations)

⁴³ UNSDG, MAF, section 3.5 Funding/Resource Mobilization, 18-19.

partners and intended beneficiaries is encouraged to ensure ownership of the JP and to achieve and sustain results. No additional quality assurance is required. As needed and upon request, additional QA can be sought from the Regional Peer Support Group (PSG), [Regional Collaborative Platforms \(RCP\)](#) and related Issues-Based Coalitions (IBCs).

Step 7. Approve and sign the joint programme

Upon completion of the appraisal, the JP document is approved and signed by all PUNOs, national and/or sub-national government partners, and other non-UN partners.⁴⁴ Approval and signature of the JP triggers the establishment of the JP SC and JP team. PUNOs proceed to establish ledger accounts and allocate resources, as per their commitments in the work plan and budget. Other specific accounting and budget requirements depend upon the choice of FMM (Annex D).

Stage B: Implement & adjust

The second stage involves joint work to implement the JP, monitor the achievement of results, learn lessons and make adjustments for greater effectiveness. The **JP SC** meets to endorse the JP document and special roles and legal documents related to the choice of FMM. The **JP team** begins implementation and manages for results together with partners for the duration of the JP. The lead PUNO provides coordination and programmatic leadership. PUNOs, coordinate their start-up processes to maximize efficiency. Start-up of complex JPs can take up to 6 months and approval and start-up processes are planned to not delay implementation.

Step 8. Implement planned activities and financial management

During implementation, the agreed results, strategies and activities in the JP document, work plan and budget are translated into action. The JP team, with guidance of the lead PUNO, manages for results. JP teams are expected to meet at least monthly (Refer to Annex B3. JP Team: Performance assessment and indicator). Implementation of activities is a PUNO responsibility and accountability. Based upon the JP work plan and budget, PUNOs provide the required financial resources to IPs for implementation. For some PUNOs this may require application of the [Harmonized Approach to Cash Transfers](#) (HACT).

Box 4 Managing for results: During implementation, the JP team engages with partners to:

- » Sequence and monitor planned development activities for maximum synergy and coherence;
- » Track the JP budget and expenditures to ensure delivery of planned activities;
- » Monitor the achievement of JP results and track indicators to ensure a contribution to CF outcome(s), country priorities, and related SDG targets;
- » Scan the programme environment for changes and monitor assumptions and risks
- » Adapt JP activities, budgets, results and strategies to maintain relevance and effectiveness;
- » Contribute to the preparation the annual JP report and communications;
- » Identify lessons and undertake policy dialogue and advocacy with partners to identify opportunities to scale-up JP results and strategies; and
- » Share information about the JP amongst the team, the UNCT and with all partners in a timely manner.

When evaluation is required, the JP team will play the role of the management group.

Step 9. Monitor, learn and document performance

Monitoring is essential to report on performance and to facilitate learning and support accountability. It is a continuous management function that provides the JP team, partners and the JP SC with regular

⁴⁴ Implementing partners (IPs) of PUNOs do not sign the full JP document. Once it is approved and signed, the JP results framework, work plan and budget is signed separately by Implementing partners (IPs) of PUNOs.

feedback about performance and lessons. Evidence from monitoring serves as a critical input to make adjustments, produce evidence-based reports, and for evaluation.

The JP team, under the leadership of lead PUNO, monitors implementation and results in accordance with the JP results framework, work plan and budget. Monitoring by the JP team involves: (a) tracking performance through the collection of appropriate and credible data and other evidence; (b) analysing evidence to improve effectiveness and efficiency, and to adjust JP results and strategy, as needed; and (c) checking assumptions and risks, including review of the sustainability plan and exit strategy. The JP document summarizes the methodology and arrangements for effective monitoring,⁴⁵ including data collection, reviews or studies, and joint field visits. Some arrangements for monitoring depend upon the choice of FMM (See Annex D).

Gather knowledge: Monitoring contributes to learning and enhances JP effectiveness. The JP strategy and document includes provision for KM; complex JPs have KM activities in the work plan and budget. The JP team learns from its practices and makes improvements. There are multiple entry points: routine performance monitoring, progress reports, annual reviews, evaluations, and audit. These help the JP team, partners and stakeholders to understand what is working and what can be improved, and to share lessons for corrective action, policy advocacy, and future use by other JPs.

The JP team is encouraged to provide a **mid-year progress update** to the JP SC.

Step 10. Make adjustments

Effective monitoring and learning enable the JP team and JP SC to consider major challenges or opportunities and to strengthen the relevance and effectiveness of the JP. Based upon progress monitoring and learning, the JP team carries-out routine adjustments to results and activities in the JP work plan and budget.⁴⁶ Adjustments are discussed and agreed by the JP team and carried out by each PUNO according to its programming policies and procedures and financial regulations and rules.

- » Adjustments may include an increase or decrease of total budget and reallocations between budget categories **up to 25 percent** of the annual budget. The JP team informs the SC and the AA (*under a pass-through FMM*).
- » **Substantive changes** require review and approval by the JP SC and are recorded in minutes. They *may* also require discussion with the donors, the AA (*under a pass-through FMM*), and amendments to legal instruments (Refer to Annex D. Fund Management Modalities).

Substantive changes include: (1) Revisions to the JP design and approach, theory of change, and risk analysis; (2) A no-cost extension or change in the budget that **exceeds 25 percent** of the annual JP budget; (3) A change of lead or other PUNOs and implementing partners in response to changes in the development context or new evidence, results performance, and learning. Revisions may be made any time in response to evidence generated from monitoring, evaluation, audit and review activities.

For all adjustments, revisions are captured in the JP document and recorded with a note-to-file that is signed by PUNOs and appended to the JP document. There is no requirement to re-sign the JP document. The note-to-file and the revised work plan and budget are shared with the JP SC for endorsement and the AA (*under a pass-through FMM*).

Suspension and Cancellation: A JP may be suspended or cancelled if circumstances arise that jeopardize the achievement of the expected results and where adjustments are not expected to address constraints. The JP team will propose suspension or cancellation for approval by the JP SC.⁴⁷ The decision of the JP SC is confirmed in writing with all concerned parties. This is done:

- » In consultation with the government, donors, IPs, and non-UN partners (*as applicable*); and

⁴⁵ Under HACT, this includes quality assurance, scheduled audit and HACT spot checks, as relevant.

⁴⁶ This can include changes to the activity, implementation schedule, target groups and/or location of activities. These are programmatic or operational changes by PUNOs in accordance with their programming policies and procedures and financial regulations and rules.

⁴⁷ The decision will consider risks and a mitigation strategy to address negative effects arising from the cancellation.

» In conformity with the legal agreements for the applicable FMM.⁴⁸

Step 11. Report and communicate

This is about telling a compelling performance story about the JP that it is being implemented as planned and funds are being utilized for the purposes intended. Reports draw on data and analysis collected through monitoring and communicate updated performance information about results, learning, operational performance, and assumptions and risks. Annual reports form the basis for guidance and decision-making by the JP SC.

The JP team produces one consolidated, **results-based annual report** that includes programmatic and financial elements. The standard report template is applicable to all JPs. It is harmonized with the reporting template for the CF. Relevant parts of the CF annual results report may be used, unless otherwise required by the donor or pooled fund mechanism. Reports are prepared by the JP team and reviewed and endorsed by the JP SC and shared with relevant stakeholders. Other specific roles and responsibilities, including reporting deadlines, depend upon the choice of FMM and are included in relevant legal instruments (See Annex D). Reports are uploaded to UN-Info.

Communications: External communication about the JP are joint and harmonized, through the UN Communications Group or other communications coordination body. PUNOs, government, donors, IPs and non-UN partners are recognized in joint communications. It is encouraged to include the UN/UNCT system logo and the logos of each PUNO, non-UN partners, and of donors to ensure their individual visibility.

Step 12. Review progress

There is one annual progress review.⁴⁹ The annual review is prepared by the JP team and conducted by the JP SC that is accountable for its quality and results. It is an important opportunity to gather JP partners and stakeholders to: (1) Share progress information, lessons and good practices; (2) Compare actual progress against expected results in the JP document; (3) Understand the *contribution* of JP results to CF outcome(s), country priorities, and related SDG targets; and (4) Review the sustainability plan and exit strategy.

The review will consider and endorse adjustments to JP results, strategy, and resources in order to respond to the evolving programme context, including new or heightened risks. Changes to planned results and strategies, agreed during the annual review, are reflected in the JP results framework, work plan and budget. The review follows the guidance for the CF joint annual performance review.

Stage C: Learn, transition and close

During the final stage of the JP, the JP team and JP SC work to:

- » Sustain and scale-up JP results and partnerships;
- » Gather knowledge about performance and identify lessons and good practices;
- » Conduct a final review, prepare a final report and evaluation (*as required*); and
- » Undertake operational and financial closure.

The JP team is responsible to carry out programme activities and the JP SC is accountable for the quality of the work and final results.

Step 13. Implement actions to sustain and scale-up results

A JP includes a sustainability plan and exit strategy. This is developed during the design stage and reviewed annually during implementation. It describes how expected JP results will be sustained

⁴⁸ Consolidated FMM: See MOU between PUNOs and the Managing Agent (MA); Pass-through FMM: See MOU between the PUNOs and the AA and CA and a Standard Administrative Arrangement (SAA) between the donor(s) and the AA.

⁴⁹ This may be timed to coincide with CF annual review

beyond the timeline of the programme and CF, with a focus on: (1) community sustainability; (2) financial sustainability; and (3) institutional sustainability. It describes expected roles and responsibilities of government, donors, and IPs. As part of the plan, the JP SC and JP team *remain operational* for a minimum of three months after operational closure of the JP to offer advice, and to support transition and capacity development. JPs will consider the use of UN Volunteers to carry-out sustainability and transition arrangements.

Step 14. Final JP review

There is one **final review** of the JP, prior to closure. This is an important opportunity to gather JP partners and stakeholders to: (1) Compare final results against the original plan and celebrate successes; (2) Validate the *contribution* of JP results to the CF outcome(s), country priorities and the SDGs; and (3) Review and guide the sustainability plan and exit strategy. The final review is prepared by the JP team and conducted by the JP SC that is accountable for its quality and results. The review follows the guidance and format for the joint annual performance review for the CF.

Step 15. Prepare final report and evaluation (as required)

The final JP results report is important for accountability to government, donors, and beneficiaries. The JP team produces one final consolidated, results-based report that includes programmatic and financial elements, using the standard report template. The team uses the final report to summarize its knowledge about actual performance with evidence and data, identify the contributions of the JP to the CF outcome(s), country priorities, and SDGs, and identify lessons and good practices. The report is shared with the JP SC and relevant stakeholders. Final reports are uploaded to UN-Info.

Evaluation: Effective, user-focused evaluation determines whether expected results have been achieved with credible analysis and evidence. It is essential for learning by the UN system and partners, and for decision-making and accountability. Evaluations demonstrate results to partners and donors and help to bring credibility and attention to joint programmes.

A [joint evaluation](#)⁵⁰ is conducted when:

- » The JP has a total budget of ≥ USD \$5 million; and/or
- » The JP is expected to continue into a subsequent CF cycle or to be scaled-up.

Below these thresholds, the JP strategy and results are assessed as part of the evaluation of the CF.

The joint evaluation is conducted in accordance with [norms and standards](#) from the United Nations Evaluation Group ([UNEG](#)). The evaluation examines the relevance of the JP strategy and results, the effectiveness and efficiency of implementation by PUNOs and other JP partners, the sustainability of results and their contribution to CF outcome(s), country priorities, and related SDG targets.⁵¹ In addition, the joint evaluation gauges *the extent to which* the guiding principles, especially LNOB, human rights and GEWE considerations were incorporated in the design and implementation.

The joint evaluation is commissioned by the JP SC. It is prepared by the JP team, serving as the management group, in conjunction with the CF ME group.⁵² It is conducted by an independent evaluation team. A management response is prepared by the JP team and endorsed by the JP SC.

Step 16. Operational and financial closure

A JP is closed in a timely manner to manage fiduciary risk, meet donor expectations, avoid costly extensions and enable the timely transfer of assets for the sustainability of results.

⁵⁰ UNEG, [Resource Pack on Joint Evaluations](#), 2014. This toolkit contains guidance and examples of terms of reference (ToR), governance and financing arrangements, management response, and follow-up strategies used in previous evaluations. Other guidance: [Integrating Human Rights and Gender Equality in Evaluations](#) (UNEG 2014), [Handbook for Conducting Evaluations of Normative Work in the UN System](#) (UNEG 2014).

⁵¹ These criteria and considerations per: The United Nations Evaluation Group (UNEG), [Norms and Standards for Evaluation](#), 2016.

⁵² Where the CF ME group is not present, the JP team will consult with evaluation advisors from PUNOs.

Operational: A JP is considered complete when the JP team informs the JP SC in writing that all activities in the JP work plan have been completed. The operational end date is the date on which the last PUNO or non-UN partner completes its activities. The JP is not closed until this is done. The final narrative report is shared.

Financial: No new financial commitments are made and no programmatic activities are carried out after operational closure. Between operational and financial closure, PUNOs and IPs identify and settle all financial obligations and to return any unutilized funds to the donor(s). The disposition of any balance of funds remaining at the end of programme implementation is done in accordance with the JP document and respective legal instruments for the FMM that govern financial management and accountabilities. See Annex D for information about specific operational and financial closure requirements for each FMM.



The United Nations Sustainable Development Group (UNSDG) unites the 37 UN funds, programmes, specialized agencies, departments and offices that play a role in development.

At the country level, 132 UN Country Teams serving 162 countries and territories work together to increase the synergies and joint impact of the UN system.

The UN Development Coordination Office (DCO) serves as the secretariat for the UN Sustainable Development Group (UNSDG) at the regional and global levels. DCO provides managerial and oversight functions for resident coordinators. Its activities are advanced through collective ownership by the UNSDG.

The Office acts as a key conduit for supporting the UN's activities for sustainable development, which inform policy, programme and operations on the ground.

For more information or queries, please contact:
the DCO Communications and Results Reporting Team
(dcocommunications@un.org).



UNITED NATIONS
SUSTAINABLE
DEVELOPMENT
GROUP
.....

Guidance Note on a New Generation of Joint Programmes - Annexes

October 2022

Table of Contents

Acronyms and Abbreviations	iii
Annex A. Joint Programme Documents.....	1
A1. Template 1. Standard	2
A2. Template 2. Simplified	9
A3. Results-based Annual Report: Standard Template.....	12
Annex B. Quality standards and due diligence	15
B1. Joint programmes: Quality standards.....	15
B2. Criteria to select PUNOs and allocate resources	16
B3. JP Team: Performance assessment and indicator	17
B4. Due diligence requirements: Non-UN partners joining a JP	18
Annex C. Terms of reference.....	20
C1. Joint Programme Steering Committee	20
C2. Joint Programme Team.....	21
Annex D. Fund management modalities	24
D1. Parallel Fund Management Modality	27
D2. Consolidated Fund Management Modality.....	31
D3. Pass-Through Fund Management Modality	35
Annex E. Audit and investigation guidelines.....	43

Acronyms and Abbreviations

AA	Administrative Agent (<i>pass-through fund management modality</i>)
BOS	United Nations Business Operations Strategy (country level)
CA	Convening Agent (<i>pass-through fund management modality</i>)
CBO; CBS	Common Back Office; Common Business Services
CEB	United Nations Chief Executives Board
CF	Cooperation Framework (<i>short version of UNSDCF</i>)
CSO	Civil Society Organization
DCO	United Nations Development Coordination Office
ERP	Enterprise Resource Planning systems of UNOs
FMOG	United Nations Fiduciary Management and Oversight Group
FMM	Fund management modalities for joint programmes: parallel, consolidated, or pass-through
GEWE	Gender equality and women's empowerment
HDP	Humanitarian-Development-Peace collaboration
HRP	Humanitarian Response Plan
IP	Implementing Partner(s)
JP	Joint Programme
JWP	CF Joint Work Plan
LNOB	Leave no one behind
MA	Managing Agent (<i>consolidated fund management modality</i>)
MAF	Management Accountability Framework of the UN Development and UNRC System
MDTF	Multi-Donor Trust Fund (<i>a pooled fund</i>)
OMT	Operations Management Team (<i>country level, responsible for BOS</i>)
PUNOs	Participating United Nations Organizations
QCPR	Quadrennial Comprehensive Policy Review
RBB	Results Based Budgeting (a key element of RBM)
RBM	Results Based Management
UNRC/ UNRCO	United Nations Resident Coordinator/ Resident Coordinator's Office
RG	CF Results Groups
SC	Joint Programme Steering Committee
SDGs	Sustainable Development Goals
ToC	Theory of change
UNCT	United Nations Country Team
UNEG	United Nations Evaluation Group
UNO	United Nations Organization
UNSDCF	United Nations Sustainable Development Cooperation Framework (<i>see CF</i>)
UNSDG	United Nations Sustainable Development Group (<i>formerly UNDG</i>)

Annex A. Joint Programme Documents

1. A joint programme (JP) document enables the partners to implement harmonized, results focused joint programmes with minimum documentation. Based upon the approved concept, a draft JP document is prepared by the lead participating UN Organization (PUNO) with programme staff of PUNOs and non-UN partners (*as applicable*). It includes a theory of change (ToC) and a single integrated JP results framework, work plan and budget. These are derived from the CF and related joint work plan (JWP) and funding framework.¹
2. Preparation of the JP document meets the minimum criteria and quality standards, including the guiding principles. There are **two (2) standard templates** for use by all JPs:
 - (1) A Standard JP document for more complex programmes; and
 - (2) A Simplified JP document for less complex programmes at or below a budget threshold of USD \$1 million total per year.

The templates may be adjusted to reflect requirements of the donors or the pooled fund mechanism.

3. Required legal documents for fund management modalities: Parallel, Consolidated, and Pass-through² (Annex D)

Legal documents		
Parallel	Consolidated	Pass-through
» JP document [no additional legal instruments]	» JP document » Memorandum of Understanding between PUNOs and the Managing Agent (MA)	» JP document » Memorandum of Understanding ³ between PUNOs and the Administrative Agent (AA) and Convening Agent (CA) » Standard Administrative Arrangement between the Donor and the AA

4. The JP document is approved and signed by all PUNOs, national and/or sub-national government partners, and non-UN partners.⁴ Approval and signature of the JP triggers the establishment of the JP steering committee and JP team.

¹ The JP results framework and work plan is derived from the relevant CF JWP. It shows a planned contribution to one or more CF outcomes and may include CF outputs, sub-outputs and PUNO activities.

² **Parallel:** PUNOs manage funds separately; **Consolidated:** PUNOs transfer funds to another PUNO, designated as the Managing Agent (MA); **Pass-through:** PUNOs appoint one UNO as the Administrative Agent (AA) to set up a common fund account in which funding from different donors is co-mingled. Financial transfers are made between the AA and PUNOs. A PUNO is selected to serve as Convening Agent (CA) to provide coordination and programmatic leadership. Pass-through mechanisms are always UN inter-agency pooled funds which can operate as stand-alone JPs and country, regional and global multi partner trust funds (MPTFs), as per UN financial data standards.

³ When the JP is funded by an existing global, regional or country MPTF, a new MoU or SAA is not needed. These are signed at the level of the MPTF.

⁴ Implementing partners (IPs) of PUNOs do not sign the JP document. Once it is approved and signed, the JP results framework, work plan and budget is signed separately by Implementing partners (IPs) of PUNOs.

A1. Template 1. Standard

Cover Pages

Joint programme title:	
Outcomes(s): <verbatim from CF>	
Duration:	
Anticipated start and end dates:	Start: End:
JP Team	
Lead PUNO:	
PUNOs:	
Fund management modality: (Parallel; Consolidated; Pass-through)	
» Managing Agent: (Consolidated only)	<i>Include name and email of responsible officer</i>
» Administrative Agent: (Pass-through only)	<i>Include name and email of responsible officer</i>
» Convening Agent: (Pass-through only)	<i>Include name and email of responsible officer</i>
Total estimated budget: ⁵	
Out of which:	
» Funded	
» Un-funded	
Source of funds:	
» Government	
» PUNO 1	
» PUNO 2	
» PUNO 3	
» PUNO 4...	
» Donor 1	
» Donor 2...	
» Non-UN partner 1	
» Non-UN partner 2...	

Mandatory text: The **legal basis** for the joint programme is the Legal Annex for the signed United Nations Sustainable Development Cooperation Framework (20__-20__). It refers to the cooperation or assistance agreements or other agreements that are the existing legal basis for the relationship between the Government of [++] and each Participating UN Organization.

In countries without a CF: The legal basis for the joint programme comprises the cooperation or assistance agreements or other agreements that are the existing legal basis for the relationship between the Government and each PUNO.

Declaration of commitment and signatures

⁵ Includes programme costs and indirect support costs

By signing this Joint Programme document, all signatories commit to work together in a spirit of partnership to achieve the results identified in the **results framework, work plan and budget**.

Co-Chairs of Steering Committee	
Government	United Nations Country Team
<i>Name of Representative</i>	<i>Name of Representative⁶</i>
<i>Signature</i>	<i>Signature</i>
<i>Name of Ministry or Department</i>	<i>Name of Organization</i>
<i>Date</i>	<i>Date</i>
UN Organizations	
<i>Name of Representative</i>	<i>Name of Representative</i>
<i>Signature</i>	<i>Signature</i>
<i>Name of Organization</i>	<i>Name of Organization</i>
<i>Date</i>	<i>Date</i>
<i>Name of Representative</i>	<i>Name of Representative</i>
<i>Signature</i>	<i>Signature</i>
<i>Name of Organization</i>	<i>Name of Organization</i>
<i>Date</i>	<i>Date</i>
Non-UN Partners (as applicable)	
<i>Name of Representative</i>	<i>Name of Representative</i>
<i>Signature</i>	<i>Signature</i>
<i>Name of Organization</i>	<i>Name of Organization</i>
<i>Date</i>	<i>Date</i>

1. Executive Summary *(One page)*

The executive summary contains a summary of all sections with emphasis on: (1) The rationale and relevance of the joint programme; (2) The expected results and their contribution to the CF outcome(s), country priorities, and related SDG targets; (3) Intended beneficiaries with emphasis on vulnerable groups; and (4) the JP partners including all PUNOs, Government, and non-UN partners (as applicable).

2. Situation Analysis *(one page)*

This section provides a brief, evidence-based summary of the development challenges to be addressed.

It is obtained from the CF, the Common Country Analysis (CCA), and other country analytical work including the [Humanitarian Needs Overview](#)⁷ *(as relevant)*. It outlines the economic, social, political, environmental, and institutional context for the joint programme. It includes a gender analysis that, along with the other considerations (theory of change, results framework), is consistent with the selected Gender Equality Marker code. It identifies the development or human rights challenges to be addressed; provides specific, current and disaggregated data on these challenges, major underlying and root causes, and the key capacity gaps. According to guidance for the CCA, the situation summary:

⁶ Normally the UN co-chair is the chair of the relevant CF Results Group and JWP from which the JP is derived. The UN co-chair represents and acts on behalf of the UNCT. Secretariat functions are provided by the lead PUNO.

⁷ Inter-Agency Standing Committee (IASC), '2022 [Joint Intersectoral Analysis Framework](#) (JIAF) Guidance'.

- » Identifies the immediate, underlying and root **causes of inequalities and vulnerability**; including the different ways that women and girls and men and boys experience the identified problems, and respond to gaps in fundamental rights, including discrimination, and power-imbalances.
- » Offers evidence to justify the JP based upon **high quality, disaggregated data**⁸, with emphasis is on critical SDG-related data gaps and gender-sensitive and sex disaggregated statistics.
- » Examines, as appropriate to the JP, normative and institutional gaps related to economic transformation, social exclusion of identified vulnerable groups, environmental sustainability and climate change adaptation and mitigation, governance and rule of law, and humanitarian-development-peace collaboration.

3. Rationale and theory of change *(two pages)*

Based upon section 2, this section offers the rationale and theory of change for the JP. It explains the major changes expected from the JP and how people, and especially vulnerable groups, will benefit. It makes reference to the integrated results framework, work plan and budget (Annex A). It includes:

- » A brief [theory of change](#) that is obtained from the CF. This defines the change pathway required to achieve the expected results, including major assumptions, risks and risk mitigation measures;
- » Description of the expected JP results; normally, this is one or more CF outputs, sub-outputs (derived from the related CF JWP), contributing logically to a CF outcome, country priorities, and related SDG targets;
- » Specific programme strategies and how they will address the major underlying and root causes of the problems to be addressed, including the key capacity gaps of institutions (duty-bearers) and people (rights-holders);
- » A brief description of the division of labour between PUNOs and partners, the comparative advantages and added value of each to achieve the expected results;
- » Reference to any critical cross-cutting concerns, related to the guiding principles; and
- » Analysis of how the JP strategy and results will *complement* the efforts of other development partners and programmes working on the same problems.

There are two sub-sections:

(1) Lessons: A summary of major lessons from past programme experience, including how recommendations and observations from human rights mechanisms and other relevant supervisory mechanisms have been considered and used in the design of the JP.⁹

(2) Sustainability plan and exit strategy: A brief description about how expected JP results will be sustained beyond the timeline of the JP and CF with a focus on: (1) Community sustainability, (2) Financial sustainability, and (3) institutional sustainability. It describes expected roles and responsibilities of government, donors, and IPs. As part of the plan, the JP SC and JP team remain operational for a minimum of three months after operational closure of the JP to offer advice, and support transition efforts and capacity development. The JP team will consider the use of UN Volunteers to carry-out sustainability and transition arrangements.

4. Steering and management arrangements *(half page)*

This section describes steering and management arrangements for the JP. This section does not substitute for organization-specific arrangements required by the respective internal policies of

⁸ Disaggregated by income, sex, age, education level, ethnicity, migratory status, disability and geographic location

⁹ [International human rights mechanisms and supervisory systems](#) under the UN are charter-based or treaty-based, including ILO conventions and the relevant [supervisory mechanisms](#).

PUNOs. It offers a brief description of key groups, their composition, and major roles and responsibilities:

It includes:

- » The JP Steering Committee (SC) See: ToR
- » The JP Team See: ToR

See section 2.3: Role of the UN Resident Coordinator (UNRC) and Resident Coordinator's Office (UNRCO).

As relevant, this section will indicate common business services that will be used to enhance JP implementation.

5. Fund management modality *(Two paras)*

There is a choice of **three fund management modalities** (FMM): parallel, consolidated, or pass-through. These are underpinned by legal instruments that govern financial management and accountabilities. This section specifies the chosen FMM. For the pass-through and consolidated FMM, it provides a brief description of the special roles and the PUNOs assigned to play them.

- » Consolidated FMM: PUNOs select the Managing Agent (MA) and inform the UNRC. Normally the lead PUNO is the MA.
- » Pass-through FMM: (1) PUNOs select the Administrative Agent (AA) and inform the UNRC. When a JP responds to a country, regional or global pooled or vertical fund, the AA is indicated by the pooled fund TOR and governance arrangements; (2) PUNOs select the Convening Agent (CA) and inform the UNRC. The lead PUNO is the CA. When the pooled fund *requires* a coordination role for the UNRC, the selection of the CA/Lead PUNO is done in consultation with the UNRC.

See Annex D for specific information about each FMM, management aspects and legal instruments, indicative budget thresholds, and a flow chart to guide selection.

[Harmonized Approach to Cash Transfers](#) (HACT): The HACT is applied by UNDP, UNFPA, UNICEF, UN Women, WFP and other United Nations entities and interagency programmes. When these organizations are PUNOs, this sub-section makes reference to *already agreed arrangements*¹⁰ to transfer cash to implementing partners, stipulated in the CF Legal Annex and Country Programme Documents or other programme documents of these organizations.

Model text: The following PUNOs apply a Harmonized Approach to Cash Transfers (HACT): < *list organizations*>.

All cash transfers to an implementing partner are based on the Joint Programme Work Plan, *in accordance with* the agreed arrangements in the Legal Annex of the approved UNSDCF and/or arrangements in the respective country programme documents of PUNOs.

6. Monitoring, learning, and reporting *(half page)*

This section summarizes the arrangements for:

(1) Monitoring and learning by the JP team (JP step 9): This is done under the coordination and programmatic leadership of the lead PUNO, and includes data collection, reviews or studies, and joint field visits. This section includes knowledge management (KM) activities which are shown in the work plan and budget. For PUNOs that apply HACT, this includes quality assurance, scheduled audit and HACT spot checks, as required. Some arrangements for monitoring depend upon the choice of FMM (See Annex D).

¹⁰ This includes: Selected cash transfer modalities, the size and frequency of disbursements, and the scope and frequency of monitoring, reporting, assurance and audit.

(2) Reporting and communications (JP Step 11): This section describes arrangements for reporting and communications about JP results. One consolidated, results-based annual report is produced that includes programmatic and financial elements. It provides evidence about progress toward JP results, based upon monitoring reports and field missions, along with updated data for indicators (as available). Relevant parts of the CF annual results report may be used, unless otherwise required by the donor or pooled fund mechanism. When a separate report is required, the standard report template is used.¹¹

(3) Annual progress reviews¹² (JP step 12): Arrangements, roles and responsibilities for conducting annual progress reviews.

7. Evaluation (two paragraphs)

This section describes arrangements, responsibilities and timing for the JP [joint evaluation](#) (as required), including how evaluation findings and recommendations will be used by the JP partners and other stakeholders.

ANNEX A. Integrated results framework, work plan and budget

The integrated JP results framework, work plan and budget is prepared on a rolling basis. It illustrates a high level of coordination and sequencing to ensure complementarity and coherence between the planned activities of programme partners to achieve results. Normally, expected JP results will be one or more CF outputs, sub-outputs and related PUNO activities, contributing logically to a CF outcome, country priorities, and related SDG targets. These are derived from the CF results framework and relevant JWP. Five percent of the JP budget is allocated for monitoring, reporting, evaluation and audit, unless otherwise required by the donor or pooled fund. Normally, each PUNO will allocate five percent of its budget share. The budget uses the UNSDG approved harmonized budget categories.¹³ Budgets for monitoring, evaluation and audit are shown in separate budget lines.

! Important:

Planned JP results and activities are coded with [gender equality, human rights, and peace markers](#). This adheres to recommendation from the High-Level Task Force on Financing for Gender Equality that all budget templates across entities enable results-based budgeting (RBB), aligned with the gender equality marker.

Indicators are taken directly from CF results framework and JWP. Outcome indicators will correspond to one or more SDG indicators. Both outcome and output indicators are disaggregated¹⁴ and include quantitative and qualitative measures for how JP results will address gender equality dimensions and reach vulnerable groups (LNOB).

Include at least one outcome indicator to measure expected catalytic changes, for example:

Qualitative: Extent to which JP strategy and results have accelerated policy change(s) contributing to SDG achievement in terms of scale (geographic coverage) or scope (additional sectors or themes); or

Quantitative: Amount of additional funding or financing ('000 USD) leveraged/mobilized by JP strategy and results.

¹¹ The template is aligned with the UNSDG Standard Operational Format and Guidelines for Reporting Progress on the Cooperation Framework.

¹² This may be timed to coincide with CF annual review

¹³ <https://mptf.undp.org/document/download/15822>

¹⁴ As far as possible, data are disaggregated by gender, race, ethnicity, class, age, disability, religion, language, caste, national or social origin, sexual orientation and gender identity, and other forms of discrimination prohibited by international law. CF Consolidated Annexes, LNOB, 17.

All results and related budgets under a JP are tagged as 'joint' in UN Info and UNO ERP systems for reporting on the QCPR and Funding Compact.¹⁵

Note. Once the JP document is approved and signed, the JP results framework, work plan and budget is signed separately by Implementing partners (IPs) of PUNOs.

¹⁵ UN General Assembly, ECOSOC, Report of the Secretary General-[Funding Compact](#), A/74/73/Add.1, 02 April 2019. Indicator 1.3. Fraction of UNSDG entities reporting at least 15% of development related expenditures on joint activities.

Joint Programme: Integrated results framework, work plan and budget

The United Nations Sustainable Development Cooperation Framework (UNSDCF) for [UNCT]													
JOINT WORK PLAN (YEAR 1)													
Outcome 1: [EXAMPLE]													
Outcome 1: <<copy paste>>													
Outcome indicator:	Baseline:	Target:	MDV:										
Outcome indicator:	Baseline:	Target:	MDV:										
Outcome indicator:	Baseline:	Target:	MDV:										
Outcome indicator:	Baseline:	Target:	MDV:										
Output 1.1 (multilateral-agency)													
Output indicators	Baseline	Target (to Year	MDV										
a.													
b.													
c.													
FUNDING FRAMEWORK													
Sub-Outputs (agency-specific)	Geographical focus	Timeline		UN Agency	SDG Target (Max 10)	Implementing partners	Source of Funds	Human Rights Marker	Gender Equality Marker	QCPR Function (Modality)	Total Required (annual)	Available (annual)	To be mobilized (annual)
		Start	End										
1.11													\$ -
1.12													\$ -
1.13													\$ -
1.14													\$ -
1.15													\$ -
1.16													\$ -
1.17													\$ -

Page 1

A2. Template 2. Simplified

At or below a total budget of USD \$1 million per year and so long as most resources and capacities are in place and UN organizations are ready to deliver, a JP can be developed and launched by two or more UN organizations with a three-page JP document and a one-page work plan and budget. When the JP responds to a call from a global or regional pooled fund, the standard template is used.

Cover Pages

Joint programme title:		
Outcomes(s): <verbatim from CF>		
Duration:		
Anticipated start and end dates:	Start:	End:
JP Team		
Lead PUNO:		
PUNOs:		
Fund management modality: (Parallel; Consolidated; Pass-through)		
» Managing Agent: (Consolidated only)	Include name and email of responsible officer	
» Administrative Agent: (Pass-through only)	Include name and email of responsible officer	
» Convening Agent: (Pass-through only)	Include name and email of responsible officer	
Total estimated budget: ¹⁶		
Out of which:		
» Funded		
» Un-funded		
Source of funds:		
» Government		
» PUNO 1		
» PUNO 2		
» PUNO 3		
» PUNO 4...		
» Donor 1		
» Donor 2...		
» Non-UN partner 1		
» Non-UN partner 2...		

Mandatory text: The **legal basis** for the joint programme is the Legal Annex for the signed United Nations Sustainable Development Cooperation Framework (20__-20__). It refers to the cooperation or assistance agreements or other agreements that are the existing legal basis for the relationship between the Government of [++] and each Participating UN Organization.

In countries without a CF: The legal basis for the joint programme comprises the cooperation or assistance agreements or other agreements that are the existing legal basis for the relationship between the Government of [++] and each Participating UN Organization.

¹⁶ Includes programme costs and indirect support costs

Declaration of commitment and signatures

By signing this Joint Programme document, all signatories commit to work together in a spirit of partnership to achieve the results identified in the **results framework, work plan and budget**.

Co-Chairs of Steering Committee	
Government	United Nations Country Team
<i>Name of Representative</i>	<i>Name of Representative¹⁷</i>
<i>Signature</i>	<i>Signature</i>
<i>Name of Ministry or Department</i>	<i>Name of Organization</i>
<i>Date</i>	<i>Date</i>
UN Organizations	
<i>Name of Representative</i>	<i>Name of Representative</i>
<i>Signature</i>	<i>Signature</i>
<i>Name of Organization</i>	<i>Name of Organization</i>
<i>Date</i>	<i>Date</i>
<i>Name of Representative</i>	<i>Name of Representative</i>
<i>Signature</i>	<i>Signature</i>
<i>Name of Organization</i>	<i>Name of Organization</i>
<i>Date</i>	<i>Date</i>
Non-UN Partners (as applicable)	
<i>Name of Representative</i>	<i>Name of Representative</i>
<i>Signature</i>	<i>Signature</i>
<i>Name of Organization</i>	<i>Name of Organization</i>
<i>Date</i>	<i>Date</i>

1. Rationale and theory of change (three paragraphs)

This section offers a brief rationale and [theory of change](#) for the JP. These are obtained from the CF. At a minimum the section will describe:

- (1) The development challenges to be addressed with available, disaggregated data. This is obtained from the CF, the Common Country Analysis (CCA), and other country analytical work including the [Humanitarian Needs Overview](#)¹⁸ (as relevant);
- (2) Expected JP results, with explanation about how people, and especially vulnerable groups, will benefit;
- (3) Programme strategies, major assumptions and risks and risk mitigation measures;
- (4) How JP results and strategies will *complement* the efforts of other development partners and programmes working on the same problems; and
- (5) A brief description about how expected JP results will be sustained beyond the timeline of the JP and CF.

2. Steering and management arrangements (one paragraph)

¹⁷ Normally the UN co-chair is the chair of the relevant CF Results Group and JWP from which the JP is derived. The UN co-chair represents and acts on behalf of the UNCT. Secretariat functions are provided by the lead PUNO.

¹⁸ Inter-Agency Standing Committee (IASC), '2022 [Joint Intersectoral Analysis Framework](#) (JIAF) Guidance'.

This section describes steering and management arrangements for the JP. It does not substitute for organization-specific arrangements required by the respective internal policies of PUNOs. It offers a brief description of key groups, their composition, and major roles and responsibilities. It includes:

- » The **JP Steering Committee (SC)** See: ToR
- » **The JP Team** See: ToR

See section 2.3: Role of the UN Resident Coordinator (UNRC) and Resident Coordinator's Office (UNRCO).

As relevant, this section will indicate common business services that will be used to enhance JP implementation.

3. Fund management modality (*two paragraphs*)

See Standard template.

4. Monitoring, learning, and reporting (*two paragraphs*)

This section summarizes the arrangements for:

(1) Monitoring and learning by the JP team (JP step 9): This is done under the coordination and programmatic leadership of the lead PUNO, and includes data collection, reviews or studies, and joint field visits. For PUNOs that apply HACT, this includes quality assurance, scheduled audit and HACT spot checks, as required. Some arrangements for monitoring depend upon the choice of FMM (See Annex D).

(2) Reporting and communications (JP Step 11): One consolidated, results-based annual report is produced that includes programmatic and financial elements. It provides evidence about progress toward JP results, based upon monitoring reports and field missions, along with updated data for indicators (*as available*). Relevant parts of the CF annual results report may be used, unless otherwise required by the donor or pooled fund mechanism. When a separate report is required, the standard report template is used.

(3) Annual progress reviews¹⁹ (JP step 12): Arrangements, roles and responsibilities for conducting annual progress reviews.

5. Evaluation (*One para*)

This section describes arrangements, responsibilities and timing for the JP joint evaluation (*as required*), including how evaluation findings and recommendations will be used by the JP partners and other stakeholders.

ANNEX A. Integrated results framework, work plan and budget

See Standard template.

¹⁹ This may be timed to coincide with CF annual review

A3. Results-based Annual Report: Standard Template

The JP team produces one consolidated, results-based annual report. This standard report template is applicable to all JPs. It is harmonized with the reporting template for the CF. Relevant parts of the CF annual results report may be used, unless otherwise required by the donor or pooled fund mechanism. Reports are prepared by the JP team and reviewed and endorsed by the JP SC and shared with relevant stakeholders.

Cover Page *[Copy-paste first two sections from JP document]*

Joint programme title:		
Outcomes(s): <i><verbatim from JP document and CF></i>		
Duration:		
Start date and planned end date:	Start:	End:
JP Team		
Lead PUNO:		
PUNOs:		
Fund management modality: (Parallel; Consolidated; Pass-through)		
» Managing Agent (Consolidated only):	<i>Include name and email of responsible officer</i>	
» Administrative Agent (Pass-through only):	<i>Include name and email of responsible officer</i>	
» Convening Agent (Pass-through only):	<i>Include name and email of responsible officer</i>	
Total approved budget:		
Out of which:		
» Funded		
» Un-funded		
Source of funds:		
» Government		
» PUNO 1		
» PUNO 2		
» Donor 1		
» Donor 2...		
» Non-UN partner 1		
» Non-UN partner 2...		
Report submitted by:		
Name:		
Title:		
PUNO:		
Contacts:		

Note: Donors and/or the AA (under a pass-through FMM), may have additional information requirements.

Executive Summary [up to ½ page]

This is a summary of the most important achievements of the JP during the reporting period. Please highlight: (1) major results achieved and contributions to the CF outcome(s), country priorities, and/or related SDG targets, and (2) tangible benefits or changes for targeted vulnerable groups. The report should ensure the visibility of individual donors.

1. Overview [Copy-paste from JP document up to ½ page]

This section describes the purpose of the JP: (1) The development challenges to be addressed; (2) Planned JP results, with explanation about how people, and especially vulnerable groups, will benefit; and (3) Major programme strategies, assumptions and risks. This section will also highlight any major changes in the programme context, including new or heightened risks.

2. Results [up to four pages]

This is the most important section of the report. There are three parts:

2.1 Narrative

The narrative provides a succinct description about the actual results that have been achieved based upon the JP document and theory of change. Please include key data and make reference to national policy priorities, the roles of partners and any new or innovative partnerships, and national or local implementation capacities that were developed or used.

The narrative will refer to:

- » **Outputs:** Provide a summary of progress toward the outputs and sub-outputs, with reference to changes in indicators (*as available*) Explain any variance between planned and actual outputs during the reporting period. Describe tangible benefits or changes for beneficiaries, especially vulnerable groups. Where possible, provide a percentage of outputs or sub-outputs that are *on-track* or *achieved* and the number and type of beneficiaries.
- » **Outcomes:** Based on achievement of outputs, provide a summary of major contributions of the JP toward the CF outcome(s), country priorities, and/or related SDG targets. In particular, this section should highlight contributions (*as applicable*) to the national policy agenda, influence of country budget allocations, and the adoption and scaling-up of programme solutions. Please refer to changes in indicators (*as available*).
- » **Constraints, adjustments, lessons and good practices:**
 Explain constraints that were encountered and any adjustments that were made to strengthen the relevance and effectiveness of the JP and the coherence and coordination of UN system support.
 Describe lessons and good practices and as needed, update information about assumptions and risks, risk mitigation measures and the sustainability plan and exit strategy. Please include experiences of failure, which are a rich source of lessons.

2.2 Indicator-based performance assessment

This section is based upon the JP results framework, work plan and budget. Provide information about the achievement of targets for indicators at the outcome and output level. Where there are variances or data are not available, provide an explanation and indicate when data will be reported.

Joint Programme: Integrated Results Framework, Work Plan, and Budget				
Years:				
National development priority:				
Related SDG:				
CF Outcome(s): <<copy paste>>				
Outcome indicator:	Baseline:	Target:	MOV:	
Outcome indicator:	Baseline:	Target:	MOV:	
Outcome indicator:	Baseline:	Target:	MOV:	
Outcome indicator:	Baseline:	Target:	MOV:	
Output 1.1 for Joint Programme				
Output indicators	Baseline	Target	MOV	
a.				
b.				
c.				
Results-based annual report for [Year]				
Progress toward CF outcome		Reason for variance (if any)		
Actual:				
Progress toward JP outputs				
Progress toward JP outputs		Reason for variance (if any)		
Actual:				
Actual:				
Actual:				

New information

2.3 A results story

This is a brief story about how the JP is making a difference. It can be at the institutional and policy level or at a local, human level. Please include photos, graphics, and news items as appropriate.

The problem or challenge

In plain language, describe the specific problem or challenge faced by the subject of your story.

Action through the joint programme

How was the problem or challenged addressed through the joint programme by the JP team?

Result

Describe the observable **change** that occurred. How did conditions change at the family or community level or how did institutions perform better to address the problem?

Lessons

What did the JP partners learn from the experience; how will it be used to strengthen the JP?

3. Other Assessments or Evaluations *[up to ½ page, as applicable]*

Provide information from assessments, evaluations or studies undertaken that are relevant to the JP.

4. Resource mobilization *[up to ½ page, optional]*

Provide information about financial management, procurement and human resources and indicate whether additional resources were mobilized.

Annex B. Quality standards and due diligence

B1. Joint programmes: Quality standards (*mandatory*)

During the appraisal step (*JP cycle, stage A, step 6*), PUNOs undertake a **quality assurance** (QA) review against the following quality standards. These are based upon the quality standards for the CF²⁰ and the common management features in the Funding Compact.²¹ Attention is placed on the **programmatic rationale** and the comparative advantages of PUNOs to achieve expected JP results. The review is organized by the lead PUNO and carried-out with programme staff of PUNOs. The UNRC and UNCT are accountable for the quality of the JP concept and design. No additional quality assurance is required. As needed and upon request, additional QA can be sought from the Regional PSG, [Regional Collaborative Platforms](#) (RCP) and related issues-based coalitions.

1. Programmatic rationale and relevance: The extent to which the JP is relevant and strategic, builds upon the comparative advantages of PUNOs, and makes clear, causal links to the identified CF outcome(s), country priorities, and related SDG targets.

2. Clearly defined results and strategies: The extent to which JP results are SMART²² with well-articulated strategies, and a theory of change, anchored in the CF and related JWP. The JP design should draw on the CCA with concept draws on the CCA with robust disaggregated data and evidence.

3. Engagement and ownership of JP partners: The extent to which the JP design was done in consultation with government and key partners and was inclusive of all interested UNOs, regardless of location.

4. Integration of guiding principles: The extent to which the design of the JP adequately integrates the guiding principles and applies markers. Important tools for the quality of results and indicators are: [Gender equality, human rights, and peace markers](#); UNCT-SWAP [Gender equality scorecard](#); [UNCT Gender Equality Marker Guidance Note](#); UNCT accountability scorecards on [disability inclusion](#) and the participation and engagement with young people, [Youth 2030](#).

5. Incorporation of substantive areas of focus from the CF: The extent to which the design of the JP is likely to effectively support: (1) social and economic transformation; (2) environment and climate change action; and/ or (3) disaster risk reduction and the promotion of peaceful societies (*as appropriate to the identified CF outcome(s), country policy priorities, and related SDG targets*).

6. Designed to mitigate risks and sustain results: The extent to which the design of the JP: (1) identifies critical risks with risk mitigation; and (2) includes a sustainability plan and exit strategy to strengthen national systems and scale-up results.

7. Governance and management arrangements: The extent to which the design of the JP offers well-defined governance and management arrangements, including secretariat functions, aligned with section 2.3 of the JP guidance and standard TORs.

8. Monitoring, learning, reporting and evaluation: The extent to which the design of the JP offers effective arrangements for result-based monitoring, learning, and reporting and for evaluation.

9. Well written and presented: The extent to which the JP document is well written, in line with the guidance, with effective arrangements for communications and for visibility of PUNOs and for government, donors, IPs, and non-UN partners (*as applicable*).

10. Legal basis: The cover pages of JP document include reference to the **Legal Annex** for the signed CF.²³

²⁰ Standard TOR for Regional Peer Support Group (PSG), 2021. Annex 4 Quality Criteria for CCA/Cooperation Framework cycle products.

²¹ [Funding Compact](#) 14: (1) A well-articulated strategy, including innovation, (2) a theory change, (3) a solid RBM system, (4) well-functioning governance bodies, (5) a supportive secretariat, (6) quality assurance on issues that concern UN norms and values; (7) risk management systems and strategies; (8) operational effectiveness; (9) effective [results-based] reporting; (10) increased visibility; (11) increased transparency standards; and (12) planning and funding for joint and system-wide evaluations that meet UNEG norms and standards.

²² SMART results are: Specific-Measurable-Achievable-Relevant-Timebound.

²³ In countries without a CF: The legal basis for the joint programme comprises the cooperation or assistance agreements or other agreements that are the existing legal basis for the relationship between the Government and each Participating UN Organization

B2. Criteria to select PUNOs and allocate resources

These criteria are for use during step 4 of the JP cycle: Analyse and select PUNOs. This step applies only to situations where the JP responds to a call from a country, regional or global pooled fund. Based upon the JP document, the UNRC and UNCT use a set of objective criteria to inform their selection of PUNOs and to allocate resources. Normally, a JP that responds to a pooled fund call will use a pass-through FMM; the lead PUNO is the Convening Agent (CA); and the Administrative Agent (AA) is indicated by the pooled fund TOR and governance arrangements.

Process:

1. Announcement of available funding and request for JP concept by UNRC and UNCT.
2. Prepare JP concept (See JP cycle, step 1) that meets minimum criteria and adheres to quality standards.
3. Based upon the JP concept, UN Organizations (UNOs) that are interested to join the JP as PUNOs complete the following assessment table and submit it to the UNRCO.
4. UNRCO consolidates responses and prepares draft recommendations for consideration by UNRC and UNCT.
5. The UNRC and UNCT meet to review the analysis and make decisions to select PUNOs and allocate resources. The UNRC has final decision-making authority.
6. All UNOs that submitted assessments are informed of the decision.

Criteria	Assessment by UNO
<p>1. Comparative advantages</p> <p>Description and evidence of UNO comparative advantages to support achievement of planned JP results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> » Mandate to address the development problem; » Technical and operational capacities and positioning of the UNO as demonstrated by past results, the UNCT configuration exercise and by the availability of relevant technical, human, financial and administrative resources;²⁴ and » Positioning to address the challenge better than others working in the same area. This is demonstrated by past results, strategic partnerships and and/or a unique role if there is no other organization doing comparable work. 	..
<p>2. Performance</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> » Results: Proportion of deliverables in other JPs on track or delivered, per information in UN-Info » Delivery rate for previous year: Proportion of available budget in other JPs delivered, per information in UN-Info (suggested threshold 70%) 	
<p>3. Readiness for implementation</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> » Availability of implementation structure(s), key partnerships, and implementing partners (IPs) to support implementation 	
<p>4. Budget and operational efficiency</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> » At least USD \$100,000 (indicative) requested in the JP budget²⁵ AND » Ratio between available resources and funds requested in JP budget 	

²⁴ This includes experience and capacities for capacity development, monitoring and risk mitigation, reporting, and management and administrative functions related contracts, agreements, and provision of cash and supply assistance to government and other IPs.

²⁵ **This is indicative.** Where the UNO has made a compelling business case, an allocation of less than USD \$100,000 may be considered. See: Technical Note on Thresholds for MDTFs.

B3. JP Team: Performance assessment and indicator *(optional)*

This is a self-assessment exercise and indicator. It is based upon expected team attitudes and behaviours and good practices to manage for results. The self-assessment exercise is conducted by the JP team annually, prior to the annual review. Each of attitudes and practices receives a simple **'yes/no' response**, based upon discussion by the team. These can be verified objectively during meetings and from minutes and reports.

Indicator: N^o effective team and RBM practices applied, out of 10 [scored]

The indicator is the total number of attitudes and practices being applied or 'yes' responses out of a possible 10 [e.g. 6/10]. The target is determined by the JP team. If used, the indicator is reported in the results-based annual report and progress review.

Effective team attitudes and practices to manage for JP results:

Self-assessment by JP team of the number of practices being applied out of a possible 10:

1. The JP team has a lead PUNO to provide coordination and programmatic leadership and meets at least monthly (in-person or virtual)
2. The JP team actively use the JP results framework, work plan and budget and monitor and discuss the coordination, sequencing, and implementation of planned development activities by PUNOs for maximum synergy, coherence and effectiveness
3. The JP team is transparent about budget allocations and expenditures, actively reviews budget sufficiency and brings any concerns to the attention of the JP SC and heads of PUNOs
4. The JP team is utilizing at least one common business service. ²⁶ (e.g., common LTA for procurement)
5. The JP team closely monitors the achievement of JP results (outputs and sub-outputs) and tracks indicators to gauge the expected contribution to CF outcome(s), country priorities, and related SDG targets
6. The JP team is able to rapidly adapt planned activities and budgets to achieved expected results and maintain relevance and effectiveness
7. The JP team works with partners to scan the programme environment for changes and monitor assumptions and risks
8. All JP team members contribute to the preparation the annual JP report and communications
9. The JP team identifies lessons and undertakes policy dialogue and advocacy with partners to seize opportunities to scale-up JP results and strategies
10. JP team members communicate openly, listen actively and share information about the JP amongst the team and with all partners in a timely manner

²⁶UNSDG, [BOS 2.0 High-Impact Services](#) January 2022.

B4. Due diligence requirements: Non-UN partners joining a JP (*mandatory*)

Rationale:

Where there is strong programmatic rationale, non-UN partners²⁷ may join a JP. These partners implement, in parallel, with their own resources and do not receive funds or supplies from PUNOs for implementation. This will help to secure more partnerships and to lever additional resources to achieve JP results and contribute to the SDGs. The legal basis is the signed JP document, including results framework, work plan and budget. Non-UN partners are not eligible to receive funds under a pass-through FMM.

Non-UN partners: National, regional or international non-government organizations (NGOs), civil society organizations (CSOs), including employers' organizations, trade unions and business sector partners.

A potential partner may approach the UN system to join a JP. Normally, the UNRC, UNCT, and SC, supported by the JP team, will reach out to one or more potential partners to directly solicit their interest and investment. The decision is guided by the JP strategy and results, including sectoral or thematic focus, geographic scope, and the types of expected interactions with intended beneficiaries. Considerations include:

- » The level of development and maturity of the potential partner;
- » The institutional capacity of the partner including relevant sectoral and/or thematic expertise;
- » Presence and relationships with government at national and local levels and communities;
- » Capacity to contribute financial resources for JP implementation;
- » Capacity for effective financial and programmatic management; and
- » Demonstration of innovations and a track record of results.

Approach:

! Important: There is a separate [procedure for business sector partners](#).²⁸

1. Non-UN partners may join a JP and implement with their own resources as part of the JP team. To do so, if the joint programme responds to either a country, regional or global pooled funds, they undergo a due diligence review conducted by the JP team, with guidance of the lead PUNO, and endorsed by UNRC and UNCT (JP cycle stage A) and the SC (JP cycle stage B and C). Otherwise, the lead PUNO and JP team will make the determination.
2. The purpose of the review is to confirm that a partnership between the non-UN partner(s) and the UN system will contribute to effective and efficient achievement of planned JP results.
3. The due diligence review involves:
 - » Verification that the partner is established as a not-for-profit organization and appropriately registered with relevant government authorities;
 - » Verification that the partner's values are in line with UN system values and principles;
 - » Verification that the partner and its members are not included in the [UN Security Council Consolidated Sanctions List](#); and
 - » Verification that no impropriety that could pose reputational risk to the UN system or intended JP beneficiaries has been identified in relation to fraud and corruption, sexual exploitation and abuse or other misconduct.

²⁷ **Non-UN partners** implement with their own resources and do not receive funds or supplies from PUNOs for implementation: National, regional or international non-government organizations (NGOs), civil society organizations (CSOs), including employers' organizations, trade unions and business sector partners. See due diligence requirements in Annex B3. There is a separate approach for engaging with the business sector.

²⁸ UNSDG, Common Approach to Prospect Research and [Due Diligence for Business Sector Partnerships](#), 2020.

4. A potential partner is required to complete a [UN Partner Portal](#) profile²⁹ (or, in lieu of, submit a paper Partner Declaration and Profile form). This provides the JP team with all required information for the review.
5. The profile includes the following **declarations** with reference documents:
 - » The partner is a non-profit organization;
 - » The partner is committed to the core values of the UN and the [Universal Declaration of Human Rights](#);
 - » The partner abides by the Principles of Partnership as endorsed by the Global Humanitarian Platform: (a) equality, (b) transparency, (c) result-oriented approach, (d) responsibility, (e) complementarity;
 - » The partner will not discriminate against any person or group on the basis of race, colour, sex, language, religion, political or other opinion, national or social origin, property, disability, birth, age or other status;
 - » The partner shall ensure that all its employees, personnel and sub-contractors comply with the standards of conduct listed in Section 3 of the UN Secretary-General's Bulletin on [Special Measures for Protection from Sexual Exploitation and Abuse](#);
 - » The partner has not been charged with or been complicit in fraud or financial and non-financial corrupt activities, including money laundering, crimes against humanity and war crimes, and is not involved, nor has been involved in the past, with such activities that are incompatible with the UN mandate and values and that would render the organization unsuitable for dealing with UN organizations;
 - » Neither the partner nor any of its members is mentioned on the [UN Security Council Consolidated Sanctions List](#). Furthermore, it has not supported and does not support, directly or indirectly, individuals and entities sanctioned by or otherwise involved in a manner prohibited by a Security Council resolution adopted under Chapter VII of the Charter of the United Nations.

The completion of a profile on the UN Partner Portal exempts the partner from any other paper-based profiles or submissions.

•••

²⁹ The [UN Partner Portal](#) is a joint initiative of the UN Secretariat, UNFPA, UNHCR, UNICEF and WFP, with operational support from UNICC. It was launched in 2018.

Annex C. Terms of reference

C1. Joint Programme Steering Committee

Purpose

The **JP Steering Committee (SC)** is accountable for effective management of the JP and the achievement of JP results. It provides strategic oversight and guidance for the JP team from launch to closure (*Stages B and C of JP cycle*), including adjustments to JP results and strategy, progress reports and learning, and evaluation (*as required*).

Co-chairs

The SC co-chaired by representatives of the Government and the UNCT

Normally the UN co-chair is the chair of the relevant CF Results Group and JWP from which the JP is derived.³⁰ The UN co-chair represents and acts on behalf of the UNCT. Secretariat functions are provided by the lead PUNO.

When funding for the JP is from a global fund that *requires* a coordination role for the UNRC, the UNRC will co-chair the JP steering committee³¹ (e.g., Joint SDG Fund; Peacebuilding Fund; Spotlight Initiative). In all other situations, UNRC involvement is **optional** depending on the specifics of the JP and country context.³²

Members

Members include all PUNOs, non-UN partners, as applicable, and donors, with option to include CSOs, including employers' organizations and trade unions, and business sector partners.³³ Based upon the JP focus and results this may include the Peace and Development Advisor(s) or representative of the PBF secretariat - as observers or active members.

Frequency of meetings

Normally, a JP SC will meet at least annually for the annual progress review.

Tasks

1. Review and endorse the JP document, including the integrated results framework, work plan and budget. Under a pass-through FMM the SC approves fund allocations to PUNOs.
2. Review, as needed, JP resource mobilisation needs and recommend and support opportunities to address funding gaps.
3. Review and endorse the annual results-based report to identify achievements, constraints and opportunities, and to recommend measures to adapt and strengthen JP strategy and results for greater relevance and effectiveness.
4. During the annual progress review³⁴: (1) Review progress information, lessons and good practices, including any adjustments made by JP team, (2) Compare actual progress against expected JP results, (3) Consider the *contribution* of JP results to CF outcome(s), country priorities, and related

³⁰ Where a JP contributes to 2 or more CF outcomes, the most relevant CF results group will provide the co-chair.

³¹ As per the UNSDG MAF, section 3.5: Where there is an explicit role for the UNRC, the UNRC co-signs Joint Programmes with UNCT members and chairs or co-chairs the local steering committee for joint programmes.

³² It is important to distinguish between the country level pooled fund mechanism and the JPs that are funded by it. The steering committee for the fund mechanism is chaired by the UNRC or co-chaired with government. UNSDG, UN Country level Pooled Funds, 6.

³³ Implementing partners (IPs) of PUNOs are not normally member of the SC.

³⁴ This may be timed to coincide with CF annual review

- SDG targets; and (4) Review the sustainability plan and exit strategy and make adjustments (as needed).
5. Review and approve any *substantive changes* that affect expected JP results and the theory of change, involve a no-cost extension, or that **exceed 25 percent** of the annual budget.
 6. Review and endorse the rolling JP workplan and budget for the next year. Under a pass-through FMM the SC approves fund allocations to PUNOs.
 7. Share updates and reports with relevant stakeholders.
 8. Scan the programme environment for changes and monitor assumptions and risks.
 9. Facilitate the resolution of any external challenges that the JP team may face during the JP cycle
 10. If an evaluation is required, assume the role of, or appoint, an Evaluation Steering Group to:
 - » Appoint an Evaluation Manager;
 - » Approve the Terms of Reference for the [joint evaluation](#);³⁵
 - » Commission and receive the inception, progress and final reports of the joint evaluation;
 - » Develop and adopt a dispute resolution mechanism for the evaluation process;³⁶ and
 - » Review and endorse the proposed management response to the findings and recommendations from the joint evaluation.

•••

C2. Joint Programme Team

Purpose

The JP team **manages for results** for the duration of the programme. This is done in accordance with the JP results framework, work plan and budget. The team comprises responsible programme staff from all PUNOs and non-UN partners, as applicable. Coordination and programmatic leadership is provided by a **lead PUNO**.³⁷ They sequence planned activities, monitor implementation and the achievement of results, learn lessons and make adjustments for greater effectiveness *together* with government, IPs and non-UN partners. The JP team practices a set of teamwork skills: participation, effective listening, collaboration, flexibility and the ability to revise and refine. The JP team is accountable to, and has a dual reporting role to, the JP Steering Committee (SC) and to their respective PUNOs.

Coordination and Programmatic leadership

Normally, the **lead PUNO**³⁸ provides coordination and programmatic leadership during implementation.

- » **Coordination:** (1) Organize and support meetings of the JP SC; (2) Coordinate advocacy with donors and external stakeholders, and (3) Facilitate audit and evaluation (*as required*).
- » **Programmatic leadership:** This supports the JP team to manage for results. The lead PUNO ensures programmatic coherence and quality in accordance with the JP results framework, work plan and budget and in adherence to quality standards: (1) Organize and chair JP team meetings; (2) Update the JP results framework, work plan and budget; (3) Lead joint monitoring and learning

³⁵ UNEG, [Resource Pack on Joint Evaluations](#), 2014. This toolkit contains guidance and examples of terms of reference (ToR), governance and financing arrangements, management response, and follow-up strategies used in previous evaluations. Other relevant guidance includes: [Integrating Human Rights and Gender Equality in Evaluations](#) (UNEG 2014), [Handbook for Conducting Evaluations of Normative Work in the UN System](#) (UNEG 2014).

³⁶ Refer to informal dispute resolution mechanism in [MAF](#), Annex 2.

³⁷ PUNOs are normally self-selecting. Selection of the lead PUNO is informed by the relevant CF JWP and planned JP results, the UNCT configuration exercise, and the capacity and positioning of the organization to provide coordination and programmatic leadership.

³⁸ Under consolidated FMM, the Lead PUNO is the Managing Agent (MA). Under pass-through FMM the Lead PUNO is the Convening Agent (CA).

efforts, including joint field missions, (4) Facilitate programmatic learning and adjustments, (5) Consolidate the annual results-based report, based upon inputs from other PUNOs; and (6) Report to JP SC meetings.

Role of the UNRCO:

When requested by all PUNOs, the pooled fund mechanism, or by individual donors the UNRCO may support the JP team for coordination purposes.³⁹ When the UNRCO provides coordination support, the lead PUNO continues to provide programmatic leadership. If the JP uses a pass-through FMM, the lead PUNO is the Convening Agent (CA)⁴⁰. See section 2.3 paragraph 19 about the role of the UNRC and UNRCO.

Members

The team comprises responsible programme staff from all PUNOs and representatives of other non-UN partners⁴¹.

Frequency of meetings

JP teams are expected to meet at least monthly. A JP team may be co-located where appropriate and feasible

Tasks

1. *Sequence and monitor implementation* of planned development activities for maximum synergy and coherence;
2. *Track the JP budget* and expenditures to ensure delivery of planned activities;
3. *Monitor the achievement of JP results* and track indicators to ensure a contribution to CF outcome(s), country priorities, and related SDG targets;
4. *Scan the programme environment* for changes and monitor assumptions and risks;
5. *Adjust JP activities, budgets, results and strategies* to maintain relevance and effectiveness;⁴²
6. *Update the JP results framework, work plan and budget* to reflect changes and ensure relevant information is updated in UN-Info;
7. *Identify lessons* and undertake policy dialogue and advocacy with partners to identify opportunities to scale-up JP results and strategies;
8. *Review*: Prepare and support the annual progress review
9. *Report*: Prepare the annual results-based report;
10. *Support the work of the JP SC* and respond to information requests in a timely manner;
11. *Support resource mobilization efforts* of the JP Team and PUNOs;
12. *Liaise with the [Operations Management Team](#)* to utilize available [common business services](#);
13. *Liaise with the [UN Communication Group](#)* to develop joint communications products about the JP; and
14. *Share information* about the JP amongst the team and with all partners in a timely manner.

There are some additional special roles related to the choice of FMM (Annex D):

- » For pass-through FMM: Administrative Agent (AA) and Convening Agent (CA) (Lead PUNO is CA).

³⁹ This adheres to: A. Mohammed (DSG), Resident Coordinators seeking additional capacities to UNRC offices beyond Special Purpose Trust Fund-funded positions, UN Interoffice Memorandum, 07 July 2020. RCOs may perform 'secretariat/coordination functions only', Para 11.

⁴⁰ In this situation a spirit of teamwork is essential: (1) The UNRCO will support the leadership role of the programmatic lead PUNO and ensure it is fully consulted on all advocacy efforts; (2) The programmatic lead PUNO will keep the UNRCO regularly informed.

⁴¹ Implementing partners (IPs) of PUNOs are not normally members of the JP team.

⁴² Adjustments are discussed and agreed by the JP team and carried out by each PUNO according to its programming policies and procedures and financial regulations and rules.

» For consolidated FMM: Managing Agent (MA) (Lead PUNO is MA).

•••

Annex D. Fund management modalities

Choice of fund management modality

1. There is a choice of **three fund management modalities** (FMM): parallel, consolidated, or pass-through.
 - » **Parallel:** PUNOs manage funds separately.
 - » **Consolidated:** PUNOs transfer funds to another PUNO, designated as the Managing Agent (MA), to reduce transaction costs for partners. The lead PUNO is the MA.
 - » **Pass-through:** PUNOs appoint one UNO as the Administrative Agent (AA) to set up a common fund account in which funding from different donors is co-mingled. Financial transfers are made between the AA and PUNOs. A Convening Agent (CA) is selected. Normally, the lead PUNO is the CA and provides coordination and programmatic leadership. Pass-through mechanisms are always UN inter-agency pooled funds which can operate as stand-alone JPs and country, regional and global multi partner trust funds (MPTFs), as per UN financial data standards
2. Each FMM is underpinned by legal documents that govern financial management and accountabilities:

Legal documents		
Parallel	Consolidated	Pass-through
» JP document [no additional legal instruments]	» JP document » Memorandum of Understanding between PUNOs and the Managing Agent (MA)	» JP document » Memorandum of Understanding ⁴³ between PUNOs and the Administrative Agent (AA) and Convening Agent (CA) » Standard Administrative Arrangement between the Donor and the AA

3. **The choice** is based on how to achieve the most effective and efficient implementation, and to reduce transaction costs for partners, donors and the UN system. It also considers the indicative budget thresholds. These apply to the consolidated and pass-through FMM. Thresholds are an internal control mechanism that help the UNSDG to avoid large numbers of small JPs and high transaction costs and to collectively manage risks: political, strategic, programmatic or financial.

Budget thresholds (indicative)		
Parallel	Consolidated	Pass-through
» None	» USD \$200,00 per PUNO	» USD \$1 million per PUNO; and » Each PUNO expected to receive at least USD \$100,000

⁴³ When the JP is funded by an existing global, regional or country MPTF, a new MoU or SAA is not needed. These are signed at the level of the MPTF.


4. Common features of all FMM:

Steering Committee	» Accountable for effective management of the JP and the achievement of JP results. It provides strategic oversight and guidance for the JP team. See TOR
Government partners	» Government ministries, departments and bodies at national or sub-national level that coordinate with PUNOs and implementing partners; Own the national programme to which the UN system contributes
JP Team	» All PUNOs working to achieve JP results See TOR; » A lead PUNO provides coordination and programmatic leadership: Under consolidated FMM, the Lead PUNO is the Managing Agent (MA). Under pass-through FMM the Lead PUNO is the Convening Agent (CA).
Participating UN Organizations (PUNOs)	» UN organizations that <i>participate</i> in the JP, including: UN system funds, programmes, specialized agencies, including UNOs without physical presence in the country, at national, regional or global level. PUNOs operate in accordance with their own programming policies and procedures and financial regulations and rules. » Recommended number of PUNOs: <u>Not more than five</u> with preference of two to four. ⁴⁴
Implementing Partners (IPs)	» National, regional or international government or non-government organizations, civil society organizations (CSOs), including employers' organizations, trade unions and business sector partners (<i>as permitted by the rules and regulations of PUNOs</i>).
Non-UN partners	» National, regional or international non-government organizations (NGOs), civil society organizations (CSOs), including employers' organizations, trade unions and business sector partners. See due diligence requirements in Annex B3. There is a separate procedure for business sector partners . » Non-UN partners sign the JP document and implement with own resources; they do <u>not</u> receive funds or supplies from PUNOs for implementation. Non-UN partners use a parallel FMM.

5. The defining features of each FMM are described at the end of this section. Below is a decision-making flow chart to help the selection process.


⁴⁴ The number of PUNOs may exceed this recommendation based upon context and judgement of UNRC and UNCT.

Decision flow chart to select FMM



D1. Parallel Fund Management Modality

1. This fund management modality most effective and efficient when PUNOs work to achieve JP results with **different partners** (national, sub-national and/or international) and where funds are or will be earmarked to a specific agency. Under this modality, each organization manages its own activities within the JP work plan and budget, whether from core/regular or other resources.



Notes on Design Stage A:

The design stage involves preparation of the JP concept, including theory of change, and draft JP document. The concept is prepared by a lead PUNO with programme staff of PUNOs from the relevant CF results group. The lead PUNO acts as 'pen holder'. Normally the lead PUNO will continue to provide coordination and programmatic leadership during implementation (Stages B and C). Consultation with government and other partners is essential.

The UNRC and UNCT are jointly accountable for the quality of the JP concept and design. Resource mobilization is done by PUNOs keeping the UNRC informed. The design stage ends with the approval and signing of the JP document and the establishment of the JP SC and JP team for implementation.

Joint Programme cycle	Stakeholders			
	Gov ⁴⁵	UNRC/O UNCT	Results Group(s) ±	Donors
1. Prepare JP concept	C	A	R	I
2. Review concept and approve preparation		A/R		C
3. Prepare JP document		A	R	
4. Analyze and select PUNOs ⁴⁶	I	A/R	I	I
5. Mobilize resources (as needed) ⁴⁷	C	A/R		A ⁴⁸
6. Appraise, undertake QA	I	A	R	I
7. Approve and sign JP, incl. workplan and budget; Establish JP SC and Team		A/R		I
- PUNOs establish ledger accounts and allocate resources		A	R ⁴⁹	

* A working group of interested PUNOs will carry-out the design stage. The UNRC and UNCT may choose to establish an *ad hoc* JP team.

⁴⁵ Includes ministries and government bodies relevant to sectoral or thematic focus of the JP

⁴⁶ This step applies only to situations where the JP responds to a call from a pooled fund

⁴⁷ RCs coordinate UNCT wide efforts for resource mobilization for the Cooperation Framework. While UNCT members (irrespective of physical location) retain their ability to fundraise locally for their own or joint programmes with other entities, they will ensure alignment to and consistency with agreed UNCT/UN Cooperation Funding Framework and resource mobilization strategy through adequate coordination with the RC, to avoid (perceived or real) competition for funds and maximise the opportunities for joint programming/programmes (UNSDG Management Accountability Framework, Section 3.5). While shown as a separate step, coordinated resource mobilization may occur throughout the design stage and throughout the joint programme cycle.

⁴⁸ Funding compact: Donors commit to provide 10% of resources through pooled funds (2023). UNGA, Report of the SG-Funding Compact, A/74/73/Add.1–E/2019/14/Add.1, 02 April 2019. 12.

⁴⁹ Under the parallel FMM each PUNO allocates and manages its own resources, in accordance with the approved JP document with integrated work plan and budget. This activity will normally be carried out by PUNO operations staff.

Notes on Stages B and C:

The JP Steering Committee (SC) is accountable for results and provides strategic oversight and guidance. It is co-chaired by Government and the UNCT. Normally, the UNCT co-chair is the chair of the relevant CF Results Group and JWP from which the JP is derived. The JP team, guided by a lead PUNO, manages for results. It is accountable to, and has a dual reporting role to, the JP SC and to their respective PUNOs for the achievement of results.

Joint Programme Activity/ Deliverable	Stakeholders		
	JP SC	JP Team (PUNOs)	Donors
B. Implement & adjust			
8. Implement planned activities and financial management	A	R	
9. Monitor, learn and document performance	A	R	
10. Make adjustments ⁵⁰			
a. Propose adjustments to JP strategy, results; present to SC (as required)		R	C
b. Review, approve adjustments (decision)	A	I	I
c. Execute adjustments	A	R	I
11. Report and communicate	A	R	I
12. Review progress ⁵¹ ; Approve rolling workplan and budget	A	R	I

Joint Programme Activity/ Deliverable	Stakeholders		
	JP SC	JP Team (PUNOs)	Donors
C. Learn, transition, & close			
13. Implement actions to sustain and scale-up results	A	R	C
14. Final JP review	A	R	I

⁵⁰ The JP team carries-out routine adjustments to activities in the JP work plan and budget. These are discussed and agreed by the JP team and carried out by each PUNO in accordance with its programming policies and procedures and financial regulations and rules. Significant changes that affect expected JP results and the theory of change, involve a no-cost extension, or that exceed 25 percent of the annual budget require review and approval by the JP SC.

⁵¹ This may be timed to coincide with CF annual review.

Joint Programme Activity/ Deliverable	Stakeholders		
	JP SC	JP Team (PUNOs)	Donors
C. Learn, transition, & close			
15. Prepare final report and evaluation (<i>as required</i>)	A	R	I
16. Operational and financial closure of JP		A/R	I

Notes:

A RACI chart helps to clarify responsibilities and accountabilities where there are process ambiguities and where the achievement of results requires cross-functional collaboration by multiple partners. RACI: Responsible (R) – Accountable (A) – Consulted (C) – Informed (I).

RESPONSIBLE (R): The entity or 'do-er' that completes a task; Responsible for action/implementation; Responsibility can be shared; Degree of responsibility is determined by the entity that is accountable.


ACCOUNTABLE (A): The entity that is answerable for the activity or decision; Includes 'yes' or 'no' decision-making authority; Normally only one 'A' per action.

CONSULT (C): The entity whose input is sought; Consulted *prior to* a decision or action; Follow-up action may be required.

INFORM (I): The entity that is kept informed after a decision or action is taken; Follow-up action may be required.

D2. Consolidated⁵² Fund Management Modality

1. This modality is effective and efficient when PUNOs work to achieve JP results with one or more common national or sub-national partners and/or in a common geographical area. PUNOs transfer and *consolidate* funds together with one PUNO, called the **Managing Agent (MA)**. PUNOs select the MA and inform the UNRC. The lead PUNO is the MA.



⁵² Formerly, this modality was called '**Pooled**'. The name was changed to prevent confusion between **pooled funds** and the pooled fund management modality and to improve the accuracy of data collection.

Notes on Design Stage A:

The design stage involves preparation of the JP concept, including theory of change, and draft JP document. The concept is prepared by a lead PUNO with programme staff of PUNOs from the relevant CF results group. The lead PUNO acts as 'pen holder'. Normally the lead PUNO/MA will continue to provide coordination and programmatic leadership during implementation (Stages B and C). Consultation with government and other partners is essential.

The UNRC and UNCT are jointly accountable for the quality of the JP concept and design. Resource mobilization is done by PUNOs keeping the UNRC informed. The design stage ends with the approval and signing of the JP document and the establishment of the JP SC and JP team for implementation.

Joint Programme cycle	Stakeholders			
	Gov ⁵³	UNRC/O UNCT	Results Groups [±]	Donors
A. Design				
1. Prepare JP concept (identify MA-Lead PUNO)	C	A	R	C
2. Review concept and approve preparation		A/R		I
3. Prepare JP document		A	R	I
4. Analyze and select PUNOs ⁵⁴	I	A/R	I	I
5. Mobilize resources ⁵⁵ (as needed)	C	A/R		A ⁵⁶
6. Appraise, undertake QA	I	A	R	I
7. Approve and sign JP, incl. workplan and budget; Establish JP SC and Team - Agree and sign MOU ⁵⁷ between PUNOs and MA		A/R		
- MA establishes ledger account to administer funds from PUNOs - PUNOs transfer resources to MA		A A	R (MA) R (PUNOs)	

* A working group of interested PUNOs will carry-out the design stage. The UNRC and UNCT may choose to establish an *ad hoc* JP team.

⁵³ Includes ministries and government bodies relevant to sectoral or thematic focus of the JP

⁵⁴ This step applies only to situations where the JP responds to a call from a pooled fund

⁵⁵ RCs coordinate UNCT wide efforts for resource mobilization for the Cooperation Framework. While UNCT members (irrespective of physical location) retain their ability to fundraise locally for their own or joint programmes with other entities, they will ensure alignment to and consistency with agreed UNCT/UN Cooperation Funding Framework and resource mobilization strategy through adequate coordination with the RC, to avoid (perceived or real) competition for funds and maximise the opportunities for joint programming/programmes (UNSDG Management Accountability Framework, Section 3.5).

⁵⁶ Funding compact: Donors commit to provide 10% of resources through pooled funds (2023). UNGA, Report of the SG-Funding Compact, A/74/73/Add.1–E/2019/14/Add.1, 02 April 2019. 12.

⁵⁷ UNSDG, [Standard Memorandum of Understanding \(MoU\)](#) between the Participating UN Organizations and the Managing Agent for Consolidated (FKA pooled) fund management

Notes on Stages B and C:

The JP Steering Committee (SC) is accountable for results and provides strategic oversight and guidance. It is co-chaired by Government and the UNCT. Normally, the UNCT co-chair is the chair of the relevant CF Results Group and JWP from which the JP is derived. The JP team, guided by a lead PUNO/MA, manages for results. It is accountable to, and has a dual reporting role to, the JP SC and to their respective PUNOs for the achievement of results.

B. Implement & adjust	JP SC	JP Team (PUNOs)	Donors
8. Implement planned activities and financial management	A	R: MA	
9. Monitor, learn and document performance	A	R: MA	
10. Make adjustments ⁵⁸			
a. Propose adjustments to JP strategy, results; present to SC	C	R: MA	C
b. Review, approve adjustments (decision)	A	I	I
c. Execute adjustments		R: MA	
11. Report and communicate	A	R: MA	I
12. Review progress; ⁵⁹ Approve rolling workplan and budget	A	R: MA	I

⁵⁸ The lead PUNO/MA carries-out routine adjustments to activities in the JP work plan and budget. These are discussed and agreed by the JP team and carried out by the lead PUNO/MA in accordance with its programming policies and procedures and financial regulations and rules. Significant changes that affect expected JP results and the theory of change, involve a no-cost extension, or that exceed 25 percent of the annual budget require review and approval by the JP SC.

⁵⁹ This may be timed to coincide with CF annual review.

C. Learn, transition, & close	JP SC	JP Team (PUNOs)	Donors
13. Implement actions to sustain and scale-up results	A	R: MA	C
14. Final JP review	A	R: MA	I
15. Prepare final report and evaluation (<i>as required</i>)	A	R: MA	I
16. Operational and financial closure of JP		A/R: MA A/R: PUNOs	I

Notes:

A RACI chart helps to clarify responsibilities and accountabilities where there are process ambiguities and where the achievement of results requires cross-functional collaboration by multiple partners. RACI: Responsible (R) – Accountable (A) – Consulted (C) – Informed (I).

RESPONSIBLE (R): The entity or 'do-er' that completes a task; Responsible for action/implementation; Responsibility can be shared; Degree of responsibility is determined by the entity that is accountable.

ACCOUNTABLE (A): The entity that is answerable for the activity or decision; Includes 'yes' or 'no' decision-making authority; Normally only one 'A' per action.


CONSULT (C): The entity whose input is sought; Consulted *prior to* a decision or action; Follow-up action may be required.

INFORM (I): The entity that is kept informed after a decision or action is taken; Follow-up action may be required.

D3. Pass-Through Fund Management Modality

This modality is effective and efficient when PUNOs work to achieve JP results with **different partners** (national, sub-national and/or international) and where donor(s) prefer to channel the funds through one UN agency acting as the **Administrative Agent (AA)**. The AA passes funds through to PUNOs for implementation and is accountable for effective and impartial fiduciary management on behalf of PUNOs and in accordance decisions of the JP SC and JP document. A **Convening Agent (CA)** provides coordination and programmatic leadership of the JP team. Implementation occurs in parallel; each PUNO is accountable to the JP SC, the AA and CA for its programmatic and financial responsibilities under the JP.

Selection of AA and CA: PUNOs select the AA and inform the UNRC. When a JP responds to a country, regional or global pooled fund, the AA is indicated by the pooled fund TOR and governance arrangements. PUNOs select the Convening Agent (CA) and inform the UNRC. **The lead PUNO is the CA.** When the pooled fund *requires* a coordination role for the UNRC, the selection of the CA/Lead PUNO is done in consultation with the UNRC.



Notes on Design Stage A:

The design stage involves preparation of the JP concept, including theory of change, and draft JP document. The concept is prepared by a lead PUNO with programme staff of PUNOs from the relevant CF results group. The lead PUNO acts as 'pen holder'. Normally the lead PUNO will continue to provide coordination and programmatic leadership during implementation (Stages B and C). Consultation with government and other partners is essential.

The UNRC and UNCT are jointly accountable for the quality of the JP concept and design Resource mobilization is done by PUNOs keeping the UNRC informed. The design stage ends with the approval and signing of the JP document and the establishment of the JP SC and JP team for implementation.

Joint Programme cycle						
	Gov60	UNRC/O UNCT	Results Group(s) ±		AA	Donors
			PUNOs	CA		
A. Design						
1. Prepare JP concept (identify AA and CA-Lead PUNO)	C	A	R		I	I
2. Review concept and approve preparation	I	A	R	I	I	C
3. Prepare JP document	I	A	R	R	C	I
4. Analyze and select PUNOs ⁶¹	I	A/R	I	I	I	I
5. Mobilize resources ⁶² (as needed)	C	A/R	I	I	I	A63
6. Appraise, undertake QA	I	A	R	I	C	C
7. Approve and sign JP doc, incl. work plan and budget; Establish JP SC and Team	I	A/R			I	I
- Agree and sign MOU ⁶⁴ between PUNOs, AA and CA		A	R	R	R	

⁶⁰ Includes CF coordinating ministry and government bodies relevant to sectoral or thematic focus of the JP

⁶¹ This step applies only to situations where the JP responds to a call from a pooled fund.

⁶² RCs coordinate UNCT wide efforts for resource mobilization for the Cooperation Framework. While UNCT members (irrespective of physical location) retain their ability to fundraise locally for their own or joint programmes with other entities, they will ensure alignment to and consistency with agreed UNCT/UN Cooperation Funding Framework and resource mobilization strategy through adequate coordination with the RC, to avoid (perceived or real) competition for funds and maximise the opportunities for joint programming/programmes (UNSDG Management Accountability Framework, Section 3.5). While shown as a separate step, coordinated resource mobilization may occur throughout the design stage and throughout the joint programme cycle.

⁶³ Funding compact: Donors commit to provide 10% of resources through pooled funds (2023). UNGA, Report of the SG-Funding Compact, A/74/73/Add.1–E/2019/14/Add.1, 02 April 2019. 12.

⁶⁴ UNSDG, Standard [Memorandum of Understanding for joint programmes using pass-through fund management](#).

Joint Programme cycle						
	Gov60	UNRC/O UNCT	Results Group(s) ‡		AA	Donors
			PUNOs	CA		
A. Design						
- Agree and sign SAA65 between AA and donors	I	I	I	I	A/R	R
- Establish ledger account (AA; PUNOs); Transfer resources to PUNOs (AA)			A/R	A/R66	A/R	I

‡ A working group of interested PUNOs will carry-out the design stage. The UNRC and UNCT may choose to establish an *ad hoc* JP team.

Notes on Stages B and C:

The JP Steering Committee (SC) is accountable for results and provides strategic oversight and guidance. It is co-chaired by Government and the UNCT. Normally, the UNCT co-chair is the chair of the relevant CF Results Group and JWP from which the JP is derived. The JP team, guided by a lead PUNO, manages for results. It is accountable to, and has a dual reporting role to, the JP SC and to their respective PUNOs for the achievement of results.

B. Implement & adjust	JP SC	JP Team		AA	Donors
		PUNOs	CA		
8. Implement planned activities and financial management	A	R67	R68	I	I
9. Monitor, learn and document performance	A	R	R	I	I
10. Make adjustments ⁶⁹					
a. Propose adjustments to JP strategy, results; present to SC		R/A	R/A		C
b. Review, approve adjustments (decision)	A	I	I		I
c. Execute adjustments	I	A/R	A/R	A/R (fin) ⁷⁰	I

⁶⁵ UNSDG, Standard [Administrative Arrangement for joint programmes using pass-through fund management](#). Under pass-through FMM the Administrative Agent (AA) is responsible for financial administration and consolidated financial reporting on behalf of PUNOs in accordance with the JP document

⁶⁶ As a PUNO, the CA also establishes a ledger account.

⁶⁷ Under pass-through FMM each PUNO has programmatic and financial responsibility for funds disbursed to it in accordance with the JP document, work plan and budget.

⁶⁸ Under pass-through FMM the Convening Agency (CA) is a PUNO as well as responsible for programme coordination. This normally includes roles for coordination and programmatic/technical leadership. The AA and CA may be same agency. Each PUNO has programmatic and financial responsibility for funds disbursed to it in accordance with the JP document, work plan and budget.

⁶⁹ The JP team carries-out routine adjustments to activities in the JP work plan and budget. These are discussed and agreed by the JP team and carried out by each PUNO in accordance with its programming policies and procedures and financial regulations and rules. Significant changes that affect expected JP results and the theory of change, involve a no-cost extension, or that exceed 25 percent of the annual budget require review and approval by the JP SC.

⁷⁰ Only as it pertains to fund extensions, and changes to the JP document and budget

B. Implement & adjust	JP SC	JP Team		AA	Donors
		PUNOs	CA		
11. Report and communicate (programmatic & financial)		A/R (prog&fin)	A/R (prog)	R (fin) ⁷¹	I
- Approve Annual progress report	A		R	I	
12. Review progress ⁷² ; Approve rolling workplan and budget	A	R	R	I	I
C. Learn, transition, & close	JP SC	JP Team		AA	Donors
		PUNOs	CA		
13. Implement actions to sustain and scale-up results	A	R	R	I	C
14. Final JP review	A	R	R	I	I
15. Prepare final report and evaluation (as required)					
Prepare final JP narrative report		A/R	A/R		
Approve final JP narrative report	A	I	I A	I	I
16. Operational and financial closure of JP					
Prepare final financial report and certified financial statement		A/R		A/R consolidate	I
Decision on use of fund balance (transfer to successor JP, fund, or PUNO)	A	C	R	I	I
Request donor decision on transfer of funds per JP SC decision or refund to donor			I	A/R	C
Transfer funds per donor decision			I	A/R	I
Prepare financial statement (final Sources and Uses of Funds statement)	I			A/R	I
Financially close account				A/R	

⁷¹ Under pass-through FMM the AA submits consolidated financial statements and reports and consolidated narrative progress reports to each donor and to the JP SC; These are based upon (1) Financial statements provided to the AA by each PUNO; (2) Consolidated narrative reports provided to the AA by the CA, based upon submissions from each PUNO. MOU 2d.

⁷² This may be timed to coincide with CF annual review.

Notes:

A RACI chart helps to clarify responsibilities and accountabilities where there are process ambiguities and where the achievement of results requires cross-functional collaboration by multiple partners. RACI: Responsible (R) – Accountable (A) – Consulted (C) – Informed (I).

RESPONSIBLE (R): **The entity or 'do-er' that completes a task**; Responsible for action/implementation; Responsibility can be shared; Degree of responsibility is determined by the entity that is accountable.

ACCOUNTABLE (A): **The entity that is answerable for the activity or decision**; Includes 'yes' or 'no' decision-making authority; Normally only one 'A' per action.

CONSULT (C): **The entity whose input is sought**; Consulted *prior to* a decision or action; Follow-up action may be required.

INFORM (I): **The entity that is kept informed** *after* a decision or action is taken; Follow-up action may be required.

Defining features of fund management modalities (FMM)

Features	Parallel	Consolidated	Pass-through
JP Cycle A. Design	<i>Under all FMM: (1) The UNRC and UNCT are accountable for a relevant, high-quality JP; resource mobilization is led by PUNOs in coordination with the UNRC;</i> <i>(2) The design is done by a lead agency with programme staff of PUNOs from the relevant CF results group</i>		
Legal documents	» JP document [no additional legal instruments]	» JP document » MOU between PUNOs and the Managing Agent	» JP document » MOU between PUNOs and the AA and CA » SAA between the Donor and the AA
Budget thresholds (indicative)	» None*	» USD \$200,00 per PUNO	» USD \$1 million per PUNO; and » Each PUNO expected to receive at least USD \$100,000
Plan for results	» Anchored in CF » Different implementing partners	» Anchored in CF » One or more common national or sub-national partners <i>and/or</i> in a common geographical area	» Anchored in CF » Different implementing partners
Mobilize financial resources	<i>Under all FMM, resource mobilization is led by PUNOs in coordination with the UNRC and consistent with CF Funding Framework and resource mobilization strategy⁷³</i>		
	» Each PUNO mobilizes own resources	» Each PUNO mobilizes own resources for consolidation with MA » Donors encouraged to provide additional funds to MA	» Joint resource mobilization: this may involve a country, regional or global pooled fund ⁷⁴
Manage financial resources	» Each PUNO manages resources according to its financial regulations and rules	» MA manages consolidated resources according to its financial regulations and rules	» Resources are co-mingled in a country, regional or global pooled fund » AA manages the fund; transfers resources to PUNOs » Each PUNO manages resources according to its financial regulations and rules
Cost recovery	» PUNOs apply indirect cost-recovery rate	» MA applies indirect cost-recovery rate	» AA administrative fee of 1%

⁷³ Done with 'adequate coordination with the UNRC, to avoid (perceived or real) competition for funds and to maximize opportunities for joint programmes'. UNSDG MAF, section 3.5, 19. See [Chapter 6 Funding the Cooperation Framework, in the Cooperation Framework Companion Package](#); (2) [Companion Piece: SDG Financing and Funding the Cooperation Framework](#).

⁷⁴ See UNSDG, [UN Country-level Pooled Funds](#), 2020

Features	Parallel	Consolidated	Pass-through
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> » PUNOs apply indirect cost-recovery rate of 7% » CA costs budgeted directly
JP Cycle B. Implement & adjust	<i>Under all FMM:</i> (1) The JP Steering Committee (SC) is accountable for results and provides strategic oversight and guidance. (2) The JP team, guided by a lead PUNO, is responsible to manage for results		
Implement, monitor, and adjust for results	<p>Accountability:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> » JP Steering Committee (SC) <p>Responsibility:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> » JP Team, carried out by each PUNO according to its programming policies and procedures and financial regulations and rules » Coordination and programmatic leadership: Lead PUNO 	<p>Accountability:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> » JP SC <p>Responsibility:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> » JP Team, carried out by the MA according to its programming policies and procedures and financial regulations and rules » Coordination and programmatic leadership: <u>Lead PUNO is MA</u> 	<p>Accountability:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> » JP SC » AA is accountable for effective and impartial fiduciary management <p>Responsibility:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> » JP Team, carried out by each PUNO according to its programming policies and procedures and financial regulations and rules » Coordination and programmatic leadership: <u>Lead PUNO is CA.</u>⁷⁵
Reporting	<i>Under all FMM:</i> (1) The JP team produces one consolidated, results-based annual report using the standard reporting template ; (2) Reports are reviewed and endorsed by the JP SC and shared with relevant stakeholders.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> » PUNOs prepare: (1) annual and final narrative progress report; (2) annual and final financial reports, using UNSDG approved harmonized budget categories.⁷⁶ » Lead PUNO prepares consolidated narrative and financial reports (annual and final) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> » Lead PUNO/MA prepares: (1) annual and final narrative progress report; (2) annual and final financial reports, using UNSDG approved harmonized budget categories. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> » PUNOs: Prepare annual and final narrative reports and financial reports according to its their programming policies and procedures and financial regulations and rules and using UNSDG approved harmonized budget categories. » AA prepares certified annual and final financial statements and consolidated financial reports (based on PUNO reports) » Lead PUNO/CA prepares annual and final consolidated narrative progress reports (based on PUNO reports)

⁷⁵ When requested by all PUNOs, the pooled fund mechanism, or by individual donors UNRCO may support the JP team for coordination purposes. When the UNRCO provides coordination support, the lead PUNO continues as the Convening Agent (CA) and to provide programmatic leadership. See JP guidance, section 2.3 on the role of the UNRC and UNRCO.

⁷⁶ <https://mptf.undp.org/document/download/15822>

Features	Parallel	Consolidated	Pass-through
JP Cycle C. Learn, transition and close	<i>Under all FMM:</i> (1) The JP SC is accountable for results and provides strategic oversight and guidance; (2) The JP team, guided by a lead PUNO, is responsible to manage for results		
Evaluation	Under all FMM: A mandatory joint evaluation ⁷⁷ is conducted when: The JP has a total budget of ≥ USD \$5 million; and/or the JP is expected to continue into a subsequent CF cycle or to be scaled-up. <u>Below</u> these thresholds, the JP strategy and results are assessed as part of the evaluation of the UNSDCF.		
Internal Audit	<i>Under all FMM:</i> Audit coverage of JP decided by the Internal Audit Services (IAS) of PUNOs		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> » Each PUNO IAS audits its contribution to JP and reports according to its internal audit report disclosure policy » Lead PUNO IAS prepares one joint public audit report, agreed by all participating IAS » Recommendations undertaken according to PUNO procedures 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> » MA IAS audits JP and reports according to its internal audit report disclosure policy » MA IAS prepares public audit report and shares with all contributing PUNOs and other stakeholders » Recommendations undertaken according to MA procedures 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> » Options for joint audit, coordinated by Lead PUNO/CA: (1) IAS of lead PUNO/CA on behalf of all; (2) Group of PUNO IAS; or (3) Outside auditor on behalf of all » One joint public audit report prepared, agreed by all participating IAS » May be complemented by PUNO internal audit reports according to their internal audit report disclosure policy » Recommendations of the joint audit undertaken according to PUNO procedures
External audit	<i>Under all FMM:</i> The matter of external audit is referred to the External Auditors of all PUNOs		
Investigation	<i>Under all FMM:</i> Depending on the alleged subject(s), an investigation may be conducted by one or more PUNOs' investigation services. Final report(s) are provided to the decision-making body of the PUNO(s) involved. Disciplinary and/or administrative actions, if any, are undertaken according to the disciplinary framework of each PUNO		
Closure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> » PUNOs are responsible for operational and financial closure of their part of the JP 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> » Lead PUNO/MA is responsible for operational and financial closure of JP 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> » PUNOs responsible for operational and financial closure of their part of the JP » AA responsible for financial closure of JP in consultation with PUNO's HQ finance departments

⁷⁷ UNEG, [Resource Pack on Joint Evaluations](#), 2014.

Annex E. Audit and investigation guidelines

→ Policy recommendation: This annex is to be reviewed and updated to reflect the current UN systemwide audit guidance and to ensure consistency with the UNSDG legal instruments for JPs. Until the annex is updated, please refer to the audit and investigation guidelines of the PUNOs.

Ok



The United Nations Sustainable Development Group (UNSDG) unites the 37 UN funds, programmes, specialized agencies, departments and offices that play a role in development.

At the country level, 132 UN Country Teams serving 162 countries and territories work together to increase the synergies and joint impact of the UN system.

The UN Development Coordination Office (DCO) serves as the secretariat for the UN Sustainable Development Group (UNSDG) at the regional and global levels. DCO provides managerial and oversight functions for resident coordinators. Its activities are advanced through collective ownership by the UNSDG.

The Office acts as a key conduit for supporting the UN's activities for sustainable development, which inform policy, programme and operations on the ground.

For more information or queries, please contact:
the DCO Communications and Results Reporting Team
(dcocommunications@un.org).